

Evaluating the Real-World Representativeness of Participants with Mild Cognitive Impairment in Canadian Research Protocols: a Comparison of the Characteristics of a Memory Clinic Patients and Research Samples



Vivian Huang, PhD¹, David B. Hogan, MD^{2,3}, Zahinoor Ismail, MD^{2,3,4,7}, Colleen J. Maxwell, PhD^{3,5}, Eric E. Smith, MD^{2,3}, Brandy L. Callahan, PhD^{3,4,6}

¹Department of Psychology, Ryerson University, Toronto, ON; ²Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB; ³Hotchkiss Brain Institute, Calgary, AB; ⁴Mathison Centre for Mental Health Research & Education, Calgary, AB; ⁵Schools of Pharmacy and Public Health & Health Systems, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON; ⁶Department of Psychology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB; ⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

<https://doi.org/10.5770/cgj.23.416>

ABSTRACT

Background

Studies of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) employ rigorous eligibility criteria, resulting in sampling that may not be representative of the broader clinical population.

Objective

To compare the characteristics of MCI patients in a Calgary memory clinic to those of MCI participants in published Canadian studies.

Methods

Clinic participants included 555 MCI patients from the PROspective Registry of Persons with Memory SYMPToms (PROMPT) registry in Calgary. Research participants included 4,981 individuals with MCI pooled from a systematic literature review of 112 original, English-language peer-reviewed Canadian studies. Both samples were compared on baseline sociodemographic variables, medical and psychiatric comorbidities, and cognitive performance for MCI due to Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease.

Results

Overall, clinic patients tended to be younger, more often male, and more educated than research participants. Psychiatric disorders, traumatic brain injury, and sensory impairment were commonplace in PROMPT (up to 83% affected) but > 80% studies in the systematic review excluded these conditions. PROMPT patients also performed worse on global cognition measures than did research participants.

Conclusion

Stringent eligibility criteria in Canadian research studies excluded a considerable subset of MCI patients with comorbid medical or psychiatric conditions. This exclusion may contribute to differences in cognitive performance and outcomes compared to real-world clinical samples.

Key words: mild cognitive impairment, exclusion criteria, generalizability

INTRODUCTION

The field of dementia research is focused increasingly on an early phase conceptualized as mild cognitive impairment (MCI).⁽¹⁾ MCI research has significantly advanced the diagnosis, prognosis, and prevention for this condition; however, translating results of this research to practice remains a challenge. Despite the value of past research, MCI participant pools meet rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria designed to minimize potential confounders and diagnostic errors, resulting in biased case identification⁽²⁾ and sampling that is not representative of the broader clinical population. Researchers in many fields⁽²⁻⁶⁾ have begun to acknowledge this misalignment between individuals enrolled in research protocols and those with the condition of interest in real-world samples. The representativeness of MCI research and clinic samples has not been quantified in a Canadian context. Given that medical⁽⁷⁾ and psychiatric disorders⁽⁸⁾ are common in older Canadians and associated with dementia-related outcomes,⁽⁹⁻¹¹⁾ it is important to understand how excluding these

cases from MCI research samples could impact findings and the ability to generalize them to clinical practice in a Canadian context. Such exclusion seems particularly relevant as a growing proportion of cases seen in Canadian memory clinics (for example, in Calgary⁽¹²⁾) have MCI, relative to dementia, which was more common in earlier decades.⁽¹³⁾

This study compared the characteristics of MCI patients in a Calgary memory clinic to those of MCI participants in published Canadian studies. We focused primarily on a clinical, rather than population-based, sample because we were interested in how the representativeness of research cohorts may impact generalizability to clinical practice. We acknowledge that clinical samples may not resemble the broader population in terms of disease severity and prognosis.⁽¹⁴⁾ Given findings from other literature,⁽²⁻⁶⁾ it was hypothesized that memory clinic patients would be more racially diverse, have fewer years of education, more medical and psychiatric comorbidities, and lower scores on baseline cognitive measures, relative to those enrolled in research studies.

METHODS

Data were drawn from two sources: clinic participants from the PROspective Registry of Persons with Memory SyMP-Toms (PROMPT) registry⁽¹⁵⁾ in Calgary, and research participants derived from a literature review of Canadian MCI cohorts. The PROMPT registry was selected as convenience sample due to data availability and accessibility. Variables of interest included sociodemographic data (age, sex, education, race), medical issues (cardiovascular/cerebrovascular disease, traumatic brain injury [TBI], vascular risk factors, neurological disorders, sensory impairment, neurological signs), psychiatric comorbidities (mood, anxiety, psychotic and substance abuse disorders, as well as current depressive symptoms) and cognitive performance (Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE]⁽¹⁶⁾ and Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA]⁽¹⁷⁾).

Patient Population

The PROMPT registry⁽¹⁵⁾ comprises patients from the University of Calgary Cognitive Neurosciences Clinic that offers consultation, assessment, and follow-up services to referred patients with suspected cognitive impairment. All referred patients are eligible for inclusion in the registry with > 90% consenting to enrolment, making it highly representative of the clientele served. In this study, we only included patients initially diagnosed with MCI per the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) core criteria⁽¹⁸⁾ including: 1) cognitive concern; 2) impairment in ≥ 1 cognitive domain; 3) preserved function; and 4) no dementia. Suspected etiologies were determined based on published reports and criteria,⁽¹⁹⁻²¹⁾ pre-existing diagnosis,⁽²²⁾ neuroimaging evidence,⁽²³⁾ and the presence of any core or suggestive features of the etiologies based on psychiatric and physical assessments. MCI was considered due to Alzheimer's disease (MCI-AD) if memory was primarily affected with

longitudinal evidence of decline and no major vascular, traumatic or other medical causes.⁽¹⁸⁾ The etiology was considered due to Parkinson's disease (MCI-PD) when there was a pre-existing diagnosis of PD, and to vascular cognitive impairment (MCI-VCI) when there was neuroimaging⁽²³⁾ evidence of vascular insult or history of stroke that was felt sufficient to account for the cognitive issues (this was consistent with criteria from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association criteria).⁽²³⁾ Other suspected etiologies of MCI included frontotemporal lobar degeneration,⁽¹⁹⁾ Lewy body disease,⁽²⁰⁾ corticobasal degeneration,⁽²¹⁾ and progressive supranuclear palsy.⁽²²⁾

Sociodemographic information and physician-diagnosed disorders were obtained from patient, informant, and medical records. The 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15)⁽²⁴⁾ assessed current depressive symptoms. The MMSE⁽¹⁶⁾ and MoCA⁽¹⁷⁾ assessed general cognition.

Research Participant Population

The systematic review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines.^(25,26) Medline, PsychINFO, EMBASE, and PubMed were searched for studies published prior to July 2018 using the terms: (MCI OR "mild cognitive impairment") AND (Canada[Affiliation/Location]). Inclusion criteria were: 1) English-language; 2) original peer-reviewed research; 3) participants exclusively recruited within Canada; 4) MCI diagnosed using formal criteria (e.g., Petersen's⁽²⁷⁾ or NIA-AA⁽¹⁸⁾); and 5) results contained extractable MMSE and/or MoCA scores. When several studies reported on the same dataset, only the largest sample was retained to ensure sample independence. Case studies and multinational studies merging Canadian and non-Canadian data were excluded. Baseline data were used for studies with multiple time points. Four independent reviewers assessed titles, abstracts, and full texts (on selected articles) for eligibility. Two independent reviewers extracted study and sample characteristics. A third independent reviewer resolved any discrepancies.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics were computed on baseline characteristics of PROMPT patients. Cases with missing data were excluded pairwise from analyses, and no attempt was made to impute data. Cohen's kappa (κ) assessed interreviewer agreement in the systematic review. Descriptive statistics were generated from the weighted mean and standard deviation of age, education, MMSE, and MoCA scores (Appendix A).

To compare clinic and research samples, chi-square tests with Yates correction and independent samples *t*-tests using weighted means were conducted. Given the most studied suspected etiologies of MCI in the literature were AD or PD (see Results), only these cases were retained from PROMPT and used in comparative analyses. All tests were two-tailed, $\alpha = 0.05$, and 95% confidence intervals were used to determine statistical significance of differences found between samples. The University of Calgary's Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board approved the study (REB18-1007).

RESULTS

MCI Clinic Patients

A total of 555 PROMPT patients were diagnosed with MCI (mean age = 65.2, SD = 10.2; mean education = 13.49, SD = 3.41; 56.2% male). As demonstrated in Table 1, there was substantial heterogeneity in the suspected etiologies for MCI found among PROMPT patients. Physical and psychiatric comorbidities, sensory impairment, and traumatic brain injury were common, and 83% of the overall sample had at least 1 of these conditions.

MCI Research Participants

The literature search resulted in 1,122 potentially relevant articles. After removing duplicates, applying inclusion criteria, and ensuring independence of samples, a total of 112 studies were retained with a total of 4,981 participants (Figure 1). Cohen's κ coefficients were 0.76 (95% CI [0.71, 0.80]) for the title and abstract review stage, and 0.71 (95% CI [0.62, 0.80]) for the full-text review stage, indicating moderate reviewer agreement.

All study characteristics are reported in Appendix B. The retained research studies included 102 observational studies, 6 randomized controlled trials (RCT), 2 non-randomized feasibility studies, 1 randomized feasibility study, and 1 retrospective chart review. Fourteen studies⁽²⁸⁻⁴¹⁾ (12.5%) did not mention any inclusion/exclusion criteria and five⁽⁴²⁻⁴⁶⁾ (4.5%) had criteria that were not specific to medical or psychiatric conditions. The remaining 93 (83.9%) explicitly excluded select medical, psychiatric, or neurological conditions. Depression and alcohol/substance use concerns were the most frequent exclusionary conditions in 17.0% and 38.4% of published studies, respectively; an additional 25.0% of studies did not specify the psychiatric conditions that were exclusionary. All but one study⁽⁴⁴⁾ focused on MCI-AD (N = 4,881) or MCI-PD (N = 100), thus comparisons with PROMPT patients only refer to these MCI subtypes. One study⁽⁴⁴⁾ (N = 20) included MCI-VCI, but no comparison analyses were conducted due to the small sample size.

Clinic vs. Research Participants with MCI-AD and MCI-PD

MCI-AD was diagnosed in 148 PROMPT cases (26.7%), while MCI-PD was diagnosed in 12 (2.2%). Missing data in these cases ranged from 0.7–35.8% for MCI-AD cases (data were primarily missing for current [35.8%] or past [33.8%] history of alcohol abuse, and GDS-15 score [24.8%]), and 18.2–54.5% for MCI-PD cases (mostly missing for current [54.5%] or past [54.5%] history of alcohol abuse, education [27.3%], and GDS-15, MMSE, and MoCA scores [each 18.2%]). Data were missing at random (Little's missing completely at random test: $\chi^2_{(151)} = 169.98, p = .14$; $\chi^2_{(31)} = 24.02, p = .81$ for MCI-AD and MCI-PD, respectively).

MCI-AD clinic patients were younger, more often male, and more educated than research participants (Table 2). Dyslipidemia and other medical conditions (e.g., cancer, osteoporosis) were more common among clinic than research participants, except for hypertension which was more

prevalent among research participants. TBI, psychiatric disorders, and sensory impairment were either not reported or explicitly excluded from all research studies. At least one of these conditions was present in 66.2% of MCI-AD clinic patients. The samples also differed on MMSE and MoCA scores, with clinic patients performing worse on both tests. Further, Cohen's effect size values (d/h ranges from 0.22 to 1.27) suggested a small to large practical significance for the aforementioned differences found between clinic patients and research participants.

MCI-PD clinic patients were more educated than research participants, but not different on age or sex (Table 3). TBI, psychiatric disorders, and sensory impairment were again absent from all research studies, and at least one of these conditions was present in 83.3% of MCI-PD clinic patients. Clinic patients also had marginally lower MoCA scores but similar MMSE scores. Further, Cohen's effect size values (d/h ranges from 0.53 to 1.77) suggested a moderate to large practical significance for the aforementioned differences found between clinic patients and research participants.

DISCUSSION

Results from this study indicate that Canadian research participants are not fully representative of MCI patients seen at a local memory clinic, with significant sociodemographic and clinical differences between samples that co-occur with differences in cognitive performance.

Contrary to a priori hypotheses and past findings,^(2,4,5) clinic patients were more educated than research participants. It is possible that Quebecois participants, who comprised the majority of published samples, obtained lower total years of schooling despite comparable educational level attained due to province-specific differences⁽⁴⁷⁾ (e.g., high school is complete after 11 years in Quebec but 12–13 years elsewhere in Canada). These findings may also be attributed to higher average educational attainment in Calgary as a major site of migration due to job prospects in certain industries (e.g., oil and gas and health care) compared to other major Canadian cities,^(48,49) or may reflect cohort differences and secular trends towards higher education in younger generations. Moreover, research studies with a cognitive assessment component may need to make a concerted effort to include individuals with diverse educational backgrounds to avoid ceiling effects as the general population becomes more educated. Regarding sex, there were more men among clinic patients than among research participants. This result is consistent with unbalanced sex distributions in research studies, in which females are typically overrepresented.⁽⁵⁰⁾ The potential sex (and, perhaps, gender) differences related to MCI are not fully known. Given mixed results with respect to sex differences in the prevalence and prognosis of MCI,⁽⁵¹⁻⁵⁵⁾ future research should aim to systematically examine possible vulnerabilities in older men and women.

In both samples, most individuals were Caucasian. Racial and ethnic minority status has previously been shown to

TABLE 1. Sociodemographic and health characteristics of MCI cases in the PROMPT registry by etiology

	MCI-AD	MCI-PD	MCI-FTLD	MCI-DLB	MCI-FCI	MCI-CAA	MCI-DEP	MCI-MD	MCI-PSY	MCI-CBGD	MCI-PSP	MCI-Other	MCI-UNSP
Age, years	<i>n</i> = 148 (26.7% of total)	<i>n</i> = 12 (2.2%)	<i>n</i> = 44 (7.9%)	<i>n</i> = 12 (2.2%)	<i>n</i> = 121 (21.8%)	<i>n</i> = 7 (1.3%)	<i>n</i> = 126 (22.7%)	<i>n</i> = 39 (7.0%)	<i>n</i> = 56 (10.1%)	<i>n</i> = 8 (1.4%)	<i>n</i> = 6 (1.1%)	<i>n</i> = 56 (10.1%)	<i>n</i> = 152 (27.4%)
Sex, n (%)													
Female	68.61 (9.47)	66.94 (6.28)	64.03 (9.01)	63.10 (5.75)	68.20 (8.96)	73.86 (5.97)	62.29 (6.77)	72.31 (8.15)	61.41 (7.47)	64.52 (5.06)	67.60 (7.56)	62.90 (7.30)	65.62 (8.03)
Male	60 (40.5%)	2 (16.7%)	17 (39.5%)	3 (25.0%)	48 (40.3%)	3 (42.9%)	63 (50.8%)	11 (28.9%)	30 (53.6%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (66.7%)	26 (46.4%)	67 (44.4%)
Missing	87 (59.2%)	10 (83.3%)	26 (60.5%)	9 (75.0%)	71 (59.7%)	4 (57.1%)	61 (49.2%)	27 (71.1%)	26 (46.4%)	4 (50.0%)	2 (33.3%)	30 (53.6%)	84 (55.6%)
Education, years	1 (0.7%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)	2 (1.7%)	2 (1.7%)	2 (1.6%)	2 (1.6%)	1 (2.6%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.6%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (0.7%)
	13.74 (3.97)	17.67 (4.23)	13.78 (3.12)	12.80 (2.36)	12.63 (2.19)	11.86 (2.16)	13.43 (2.60)	11.68 (2.32)	12.55 (1.75)	12.86 (2.12)	12.40 (7.28)	13.77 (2.38)	13.07 (2.36)
Race, n (%)													
Caucasian	132 (89.2%)	9 (75.0%)	42 (95.5%)	9 (75.0%)	114 (94.2%)	7 (100.0%)	112 (88.9%)	33 (86.8%)	52 (94.5%)	8 (100.0%)	5 (83.3%)	53 (96.4%)	134 (88.2%)
Non-Caucasian	15 (10.1%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (2.3%)	3 (25.0%)	6 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (9.5%)	5 (13.2%)	3 (5.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.6%)	14 (9.2%)
Missing	1 (0.7%)	2 (16.7%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (2.6%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (2.6%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (1.8%)	4 (2.6%)
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	29 (19.6%)	4 (33.3%)	9 (20.5%)	3 (25.0%)	44 (36.4%)	2 (28.6%)	28 (22.2%)	17 (43.6%)	8 (14.3%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (16.7%)	10 (17.9%)	40 (26.3%)
Coronary artery disease (incl. myocardial infarction)	17 (11.5%)	3 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (16.7%)	28 (23.1%)	1 (14.3%)	14 (11.1%)	11 (28.2%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	4 (7.1%)	20 (13.2%)
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	8 (5.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.8%)	2 (16.7%)	7 (5.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.6%)	5 (12.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (3.9%)
Congestive heart failure	1 (0.7%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.6%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)
Other	7 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (7.4%)	1 (14.3%)	5 (4.0%)	3 (7.7%)	4 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (5.4%)	10 (6.6%)
Cerebrovascular disease, n (%)	26 (17.6%)	2 (16.7%)	12 (27.3%)	3 (25.0%)	45 (37.2%)	2 (28.6%)	22 (17.5%)	12 (30.8%)	11 (19.6%)	2 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (21.4%)	26 (17.1%)
Ischemic stroke	10 (6.8%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (2.3%)	2 (16.7%)	16 (13.2%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (4.0%)	6 (15.4%)	2 (3.6%)	1 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)	4 (2.6%)
Intracerebral haemorrhage	2 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (0.7%)
Unspecified stroke	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (0.7%)
TIA	9 (6.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (12.4%)	1 (14.3%)	9 (7.1%)	5 (12.8%)	3 (5.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.6%)	7 (4.6%)
Other	5 (3.4%)	1 (8.3%)	5 (11.4%)	1 (8.3%)	9 (7.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (5.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (7.1%)	7 (4.6%)
Traumatic brain injury, n (%)	32 (21.6%)	2 (16.7%)	13 (29.5%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (21.5%)	3 (42.9%)	33 (26.2%)	8 (20.5%)	14 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	1 (16.7%)	19 (33.9%)	38 (25.0%)

TABLE 1. Continued

	MCI-AD	MCI-PD	MCI-FTLD	MCI-DLB	MCI-FCI	MCI-CAA	MCI-DEP	MCI-MD	MCI-PSY	MCI-CBGD	MCI-PSP	MCI-Other	MCI-UNSP
	<i>n</i> = 148 (26.7% of total)	<i>n</i> = 12 (2.2%)	<i>n</i> = 44 (7.9%)	<i>n</i> = 12 (2.2%)	<i>n</i> = 121 (21.8%)	<i>n</i> = 7 (1.3%)	<i>n</i> = 126 (22.7%)	<i>n</i> = 39 (7.0%)	<i>n</i> = 56 (10.1%)	<i>n</i> = 8 (1.4%)	<i>n</i> = 6 (1.1%)	<i>n</i> = 56 (10.1%)	<i>n</i> = 152 (27.4%)
Other medical conditions, <i>n</i> (%)	37 (25.0%)	5 (41.7%)	28 (63.4%)	9 (75.0%)	113 (93.4%)	4 (57.1%)	100 (79.4%)	36 (92.3%)	47 (83.9%)	8 (100.0%)	6 (100.0%)	43 (76.8%)	116 (76.3%)
Hypertension	63 (42.6%)	6 (50.0%)	15 (34.1%)	5 (41.7%)	79 (65.3%)	3 (42.9%)	69 (54.8%)	29 (74.4%)	25 (44.6%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (66.7%)	18 (32.1%)	58 (38.2%)
Dyslipidemia	56 (37.8%)	6 (50.0%)	12 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	67 (55.4%)	2 (28.9%)	45 (35.7%)	25 (64.1%)	17 (30.4%)	1 (12.5%)	2 (33.3%)	14 (25.0%)	58 (38.2%)
Type 2 diabetes	18 (12.2%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (6.8%)	3 (25.0%)	30 (24.5%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (15.9%)	10 (25.6%)	7 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (14.3%)	21 (13.8%)
Other ^a	74 (50.0%)	2 (16.7%)	15 (34.1%)	5 (41.7%)	66 (54.5%)	3 (42.9%)	62 (49.2%)	24 (61.5%)	28 (50.0%)	3 (37.5%)	5 (83.3%)	30 (53.6%)	74 (48.7%)
Neurological Disorders, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (58.3%)	9 (20.5%)	8 (66.7%)	34 (28.1%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (19.8%)	9 (23.1%)	12 (21.4%)	6 (75.0%)	5 (83.3%)	25 (44.6%)	35 (23.0%)	
Parkinsonism	5 (3.38%)	3 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (66.7%)	8 (6.6%)	4 (3.2%)	4 (10.3%)	4 (10.3%)	3 (5.4%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (66.7%)	5 (8.9%)	2 (1.3%)
Parkinson's disease	0	3 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)
Seizures/epilepsy	4 (2.70%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (5.8%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.4%)	2 (5.1%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (7.1%)	4 (2.6%)
Delirium	2 (1.35%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)
Other	8 (5.41%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (9.1%)	2 (16.7%)	18 (14.9%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (10.3%)	4 (10.3%)	4 (7.14%)	2 (25.0%)	3 (50.0%)	14 (25.0%)	15 (9.9%)
Sensory impairment (vision, hearing, unspecified), <i>n</i> (%)	40 (27.0%)	3 (25.0%)	19 (43.2%)	2 (16.7%)	26 (21.5%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (34.9%)	9 (23.1%)	16 (28.6%)	3 (37.5%)	1 (16.7%)	12 (21.4%)	65 (42.8%)
Neurological signs, <i>n</i> (%)	61 (41.2%)	6 (50.0%)	27 (61.4%)	9 (75.0%)	44 (36.4%)	1 (14.3%)	54 (42.9%)	15 (38.5%)	21 (37.5%)	8 (100.0%)	6 (100.0%)	28 (50.0%)	70 (46.1%)
Gait disorder	8 (5.4%)	2 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (25.0%)	9 (7.4%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (5.6%)	2 (5.1%)	2 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	3 (5.4%)	6 (3.9%)
Signs of frontal dysfunction	9 (6.1%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (18.2%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (5.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (3.2%)	4 (10.3%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	3 (5.4%)	2 (1.3%)
Parkinsonism	5 (3.4%)	4 (33.3%)	1 (2.3%)	5 (41.7%)	6 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (4.0%)	4 (10.3%)	2 (3.6%)	6 (75.0%)	4 (66.7%)	6 (10.7%)	3 (2.0%)
Motor neuron signs	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Neuro-ophthalmologic signs	2 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Focal or lateralizing signs	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.65%)	1 (14.3%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	11 (7.4%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (15.9%)	1 (8.3%)	10 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)	12 (9.5%)	3 (7.7%)	4 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (14.3%)	12 (7.9%)

TABLE 1. Continued

	MCI-AD n = 148 (26.7% of total)	MCI-PD n = 12 (2.2%)	MCI-FTLD n = 44 (7.9%)	MCI-DLB n = 12 (2.2%)	MCI-FCI n = 121 (21.8%)	MCI-CAA n = 7 (1.3%)	MCI-DEP n = 126 (22.7%)	MCI-MD n = 39 (7.0%)	MCI-PSY n = 56 (10.1%)	MCI-CBGD n = 8 (1.4%)	MCI-PSP n = 6 (1.1%)	MCI-Other n = 56 (10.1%)	MCI-UNSP n = 152 (27.4%)
Psychiatric disorders, n (%)	108 (73.0%)	8 (66.7%)	27 (61.4%)	6 (50.0%)	74 (61.2%)	2 (28.6%)	110 (90.9%)	31 (79.5%)	45 (80.4%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (66.7%)	34 (60.7%)	94 (61.8%)
Mood disorders	40 (27.0%)	6 (50.0%)	12 (27.3%)	4 (33.3%)	42 (34.7%)	1 (14.3%)	90 (71.4%)	13 (33.3%)	34 (60.7%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (33.3%)	16 (28.6%)	51 (33.6%)
Anxiety disorders	14 (9.5%)	1 (8.3%)	6 (13.6%)	1 (8.3%)	14 (11.6%)	1 (14.3%)	35 (27.8%)	6 (15.4%)	19 (33.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (10.7%)	19 (12.5%)
Psychotic disorders	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.4%)	3 (7.7%)	2 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)
Alcohol and other substance use/ abuse	25 (16.9%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (13.6%)	5 (41.7%)	51 (42.1%)	5 (71.4%)	27 (21.4%)	32 (82.1%)	8 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (33.3%)	5 (8.9%)	2 (1.3%)
Other neuropsychiatric symptoms (including PTSD)	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (8.3%)	3 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (4.8%)	4 (10.3%)	2 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	2 (3.6%)	2 (1.3%)
GDS-15	3.52 (2.96)	5.33 (3.64)	3.21 (2.67)	6.44 (2.17)	4.11 (2.83)	1.8 (1.36)	7 (2.90)	4.13 (2.91)	6.10 (3.16)	6.33 (2.0)	7.0 (2.4)	5.29 (2.96)	3.49 (2.14)
Depression severity, n (%)	80 (54.1%)	4 (40.0%)	30 (78.9%)	2 (16.7%)	56 (62.9%)	4 (57.1%)	37 (30.1%)	16 (69.6%)	17 (35.4%)	1 (16.7%)	1 (20.0%)	25 (46.3%)	102 (68.0%)
None	24 (16.2%)	4 (40.0%)	3 (7.9%)	5 (41.7%)	22 (24.7%)	1 (14.3%)	47 (38.2%)	4 (17.4%)	19 (39.6%)	3 (50.0%)	1 (20.0%)	20 (37.0%)	33 (22.0%)
Mild	4 (2.7%)	2 (20.0%)	3 (7.9%)	2 (16.7%)	6 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (20.3%)	1 (4.3%)	7 (14.6%)	2 (33.3%)	3 (60.0%)	7 (13.0%)	12 (8.0%)
Moderate	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.3%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (11.4%)	2 (8.7%)	5 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.7%)	3 (2.0%)
Severe	25.79 (3.25)	27.56 (2.70)	24.92 (4.22)	25.18 (2.53)	26.74 (2.17)	27.17 (1.17)	26.99 (2.49)	25.09 (2.80)	26.31 (3.41)	26.75 (1.31)	25.50 (3.17)	27.67 (1.86)	27.43 (1.69)
MMSE	19.90 (3.85)	24.25 (3.65)	20.80 (4.02)	22.22 (4.25)	21.06 (2.72)	20.71 (1.55)	21.97 (2.88)	19.69 (3.05)	21.73 (3.63)	21.50 (2.50)	20.00 (2.80)	22.35 (2.94)	21.98 (3.13)

Note. A proportion of PROMPT clinic patients may have multiple health and/or psychiatric conditions. Therefore, the number of percentages reflects the proportion between the number of participants with the condition and the total sample size for each of the MCI etiology subgroups.
 AD = Alzheimer's disease; PD = Parkinson's disease; FTLD = Frontotemporal lobar degeneration; DLB = Dementia with Lewy bodies; VCI = Vascular Cognitive Impairment; CAA = Cerebral amyloid angiopathy;
 DEP = Depressive symptoms related cognitive impairment; MD = Mixed dementia; PSY = Psychiatric conditions, not including depression; CBGD = Corticobasal ganglionic degeneration; PSP = Progressive supranuclear palsy; Other = Due to systemic, nutritional, or other neurological causes, such as traumatic brain injury, cancer or cancer treatment, etc.; UNSP = Unspecified; PTSD = Post-traumatic stress disorder;
 TIA = Transient ischemic attack; GDS-15 = 15-Item Geriatric depression scale; MMSE = Mini-mental Status Exam; MoCA = Montreal cognitive assessment
 The "Other" category in the other medical conditions includes medical conditions such as hypothyroidism, respiratory disorders, osteoporosis, and medical procedures.




FIGURE 1. Search strategy for the systematic review

be associated with lower health-care literacy,⁽⁵⁶⁾ health-care access and utilization,⁽⁵⁷⁾ and research participation.⁽⁵⁸⁾ The eligibility criteria of language fluency may further limit the number of ethnic minority participants in MCI research studies. Calgary is relatively homogenous, with visible minorities accounting for 33.7% of the population⁽⁵⁹⁾ (comparatively, Toronto’s population has 51.1% visible minorities⁽⁶⁰⁾). Thus, both samples in this study were less ethnically diverse than anticipated.

Our central finding is that MCI participants with psychiatric, medical, and neurological conditions were regularly excluded from Canadian MCI research studies, despite these conditions being clinically prevalent. Psychiatric disorders, TBI, and sensory impairment were particularly commonplace in PROMPT (83% MCI-PD patients had ≥ 1), but these

conditions were systematic exclusion criteria from > 80% studies in the systematic review. Psychiatric disorders are prevalent among older adults⁽⁶¹⁻⁶⁵⁾ and can impact dementia risk and related outcomes.⁽⁶⁶⁻⁷⁰⁾ The presence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in MCI doubles progression rate to dementia.⁽⁷¹⁾ Cross-sectionally, it is difficult to know whether psychiatric symptoms are a risk factor or a prodrome of dementia.⁽⁷²⁾ However, large prospective cohorts have demonstrated a linkage between age of onset of psychiatric symptomatology and incident dementia,⁽⁷³⁻⁷⁵⁾ and mild behavioural impairment (MBI, i.e., later life onset of sustained neuropsychiatric symptoms of any severity⁽⁷⁶⁻⁷⁹⁾) is an at-risk state for incident cognitive decline and dementia.⁽⁸⁰⁻⁸³⁾ Thus, excluding MCI research participants based on scores above cut-off on a cross-sectional neuropsychiatric measure may inadvertently exclude

TABLE 2.
Sample and health characteristics of MCI-AD participants

	<i>PROMPT Registry</i> <i>n = 148</i>	<i>Systematic Review</i> <i>n = 4881</i>	<i>t/χ²</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>95% CI</i>	<i>Cohen's d/h</i>
<i>Sociodemographic Characteristics</i>							
Age, years	68.61 (9.47)	73.75 (6.98)	8.67	4778	<.001	[-6.30, -3.97]	0.62
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)							
Female	60 (40.5%)	2032(53.7%) ^a	9.9	1	0.002	[4.97, 20.96]	0.26
Male	87 (59.2%)	1746 (46.1%)	9.17	1	0.002	[4.46, 20.50]	0.25
Education, years	13.74 (3.97)	12.90 (3.61) ^b	2.53	4162	0.01	[0.19, 1.49]	0.22
Race, <i>n</i> (%)							
Caucasian	132 (89.2%) ^c	198 (93.8%) ^d	0.88	1	0.35	[-2.82, 8.85]	0.15
Non-Caucasian	15 (10.1%)	11 (5.2%)	3.11	1	0.08	[-0.59, 11.29]	0.19
<i>Health Characteristics</i>							
Cardiovascular disease, <i>n</i> (%)	29 (19.6%)	46 (26.6%) ^e	2.18	1	0.14	[-2.33, 15.99]	0.16
Coronary artery disease (incl. myocardial infarction)	17 (11.5%)	27 (15.6%)	1.14	1	0.29	[-0.59, 11.29]	0.12
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	8 (5.4%)	9 (5.2%)	0.01	1	0.93	[-3.58, 11.56]	0.003
Congestive heart failure	1 (0.7%)	2 (1.2%)	0.2	1	0.66	[-2.69, 3.49]	0.05
Other	7 (4.7%)	8 (4.6%)	0	1	0.96	[-3.17, 6.08]	1.06
Cerebrovascular disease, <i>n</i> (%)	26 (17.6%)	14 (9.9%) ^f	3.58	1	0.06	[-0.33, 15.66]	0.23
Ischemic stroke/transient ischemic attack	17 (11.5%)	14 (9.9%)	0.19	1	0.66	[-5.75, 8.88]	0.05
Intracerebral haemorrhage	2 (1.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Unspecified stroke	1 (0.7%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Other	5 (3.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Traumatic brain injury, <i>n</i> (%)	32 (21.6%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Other medical conditions, <i>n</i> (%) ^g							
Hypertension	63 (42.6%)	103 (56.3%)	6.13	1	0.01	[2.87, 24.08]	0.28
Dyslipidemia	56 (37.8%)	21 (11.5%)	31.8	1	<.001	[17.17, 35.26]	0.63
Type 2 diabetes	18 (12.2%)	31 (16.9%)	1.48	1	0.22	[-3.06, 12.26]	0.14
Other	74 (50.0%)	37 (20.2%)	32.5	1	<.001	[19.57, 37.27]	0.64
Neurological Disorders, <i>n</i> (%)	22 (14.86%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Parkinsonism	5 (3.38%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Parkinson's disease	0	<i>n/a</i>					
Seizures/epilepsy	4 (2.70%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Delirium	2 (1.35%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Other	8 (5.41%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Sensory impairment (vision, hearing, unspecified), <i>n</i> (%)	40 (27.0%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Neurological signs, <i>n</i> (%)	61 (41.2%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Gait disorder	8 (5.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Signs of frontal dysfunction	9 (6.1%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Parkinsonism	5 (3.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Motor neuron signs	1 (0.7%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Neuro-ophthalmologic signs	2 (1.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Focal or lateralizing signs	1 (0.7%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Other	11 (7.4%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Psychiatric disorders, <i>n</i> (%)	108 (73.0%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Mood disorders	40 (27.0%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Anxiety disorders	14 (9.5%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Psychotic disorders	1 (0.7%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Alcohol and other substance use/abuse	25 (16.9%)	<i>n/a</i>					
Other neuropsychiatric symptoms (including PTSD)	4 (2.7%)	<i>n/a</i>					
GDS-15	3.52 (2.96)	3.47 (2.97) ^h	0.09	150	0.92	[-1.03, 1.13]	0.02

TABLE 2. Continued

	PROMPT Registry <i>n</i> = 148	Systematic Review <i>n</i> = 4881	<i>t</i> / χ^2	<i>df</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI	Cohen's <i>d/h</i>
Psychiatric disorders, <i>n</i> (%) (continued)							
Depression severity, <i>n</i> (%)							
None	80 (54.1%)	989 (80.2%) ⁱ	40.7	1	<.001	[16.82, 35.50]	0.57
Mild	24 (16.2%)	245 (19.9%)	0.87	1	0.35	[-4.55, 9.77]	0.09
Moderate	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)					
Severe	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)					
<i>Cognitive Characteristics</i>							
MMSE	25.79 (3.25)	27.44 (1.04) ^j	20.5	4344	<.001	[-1.81, -1.50]	0.68
MoCA	19.90 (3.85)	23.40 (0.57) ^k	27.9	1255	<.001	[-3.74, -3.25]	1.27

n/a = Medical, neurological, and psychiatric conditions were either excluded or not reported; Other = medical conditions included conditions such as respiratory disorders, osteoporosis, cancer, and medical procedures.

^aTwenty articles did not report sex distribution (1096 missing cases).

^bThirteen articles did not report years of education information (623 missing cases). Two articles reported education levels in categorical variables (*n* = 226).

^cOne MCI-AD case did not report race/ethnicity information.

^dFive articles reported race/ethnicity, wherein majority of the samples were Caucasian, with percentages ranging from 67.57% to 100% of the sample (*n* = 211).

^eTotal number of participants with cardiovascular diseases in the literature. Three articles reported participants with cardiovascular diseases (*n* = 173).

Percentage reflects the proportion between the number of participants with cardiovascular disease and the total sample size of all the studies reported cardiovascular disease.

^fTotal number of participants with a history of stroke/transient ischemic attack reported in the literature. Two articles reported participants with cerebrovascular diseases (*n* = 141). Percentage reflects the proportion between the number of participants with a history of stroke/transient ischemic attack and the total sample size of all the studies reported cerebrovascular events.

^gThree studies reported multiple medical conditions (*n* = 183). Subsequent percentage reflects the proportion between the number of participants with the specific medical condition and the total sample size of all studies reported other category of the other medical condition.

^hThree articles used GDS-15 to report research participants' depressive symptoms (*n* = 40)

ⁱThirty articles used self-report measures to assess depressive symptoms (*n* = 1234), other than the GDS-15. Percentage represents the proportion between the number of participants in each severity category based on established cut-off scores and the total sample size of all the articles with depressive symptoms questionnaires.

^jNinety-three articles used MMSE and two articles used standardized MMSE (SMMSE) to assess general cognition (*n* = 4224). Scores of MMSE and SMSSE are comparable.

^kThirty articles used MoCA to assess general cognition (*n* = 1150).

those with prodromal disease, diluting the sample. The data on MBI can inform the approach to psychiatric conditions in MCI, and including those with MBI may, in fact, enrich the MCI sample for prodromal dementia.

Sensory impairment is common in late life⁽⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸⁾ and is associated with increased risk of MCI⁽⁸⁹⁾ and dementia,⁽⁹⁰⁻⁹³⁾ especially multisensory impairment.⁽⁹⁴⁾ Sensory impairment may even serve as a potential biomarker for pathological cognitive aging.⁽⁹⁵⁾ Similarly, TBI is another identified risk factor for MCI⁽⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸⁾ and dementia,^(99,100) and is associated with neurodegenerative protein pathology.^(101,102) The presence of chronic, systemic health conditions can also exacerbate cognitive decline.⁽¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁷⁾ Given that chronic health conditions and sensory impairments are highly prevalent among Canadian seniors^(7,84,85,108) and older adults are at high risk of sustaining a TBI,^(109,110) the exclusion of these comorbidities may further undermine the representativeness of MCI samples and research findings. Predictably, these comorbidities were accompanied by between-sample discrepancies in cognitive performance in this study—clinic patients performed approximately two points lower on MMSE and MoCA testing compared to research participants. The magnitude of study effects is likely to be over- or underestimated in MCI research

participants who are overall healthier with less cognitive impairment relative to current real-world patient populations. It is additionally possible that healthier, less cognitive impaired individuals self-select into research protocols, further reducing generalizability. Canadian practitioners seeking to implement evidence-based care should carefully consider the characteristics of relevant research samples before applying results derived from them in their practice.

The search terms used in the systematic review were selected to best match the criteria used to diagnose patients in PROMPT. As such, some important Canadian studies of cognitive impairment, no dementia (CIND) or vascular cognitive impairment (VCI)⁽¹¹¹⁾ were not captured, such as the Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA),⁽¹¹²⁾ the Canadian Collaborative Cohort of Related Dementias (ACCORD),⁽¹¹³⁾ and the Consortium to Investigate Vascular Impairment of Cognition (CIVIC).⁽¹¹¹⁾ The concepts underlying CIND are considerably different from Petersen's⁽²⁷⁾ and NIA-AA's⁽¹⁸⁾ conceptualization of MCI, as CIND encompasses non-neurodegenerative and not necessarily progressive causes of cognitive impairment⁽¹¹⁴⁾ (including psychiatric, neurodevelopmental and toxic).⁽¹¹³⁾ Nonetheless, these studies offer similar insights to the present work. ACCORD⁽¹¹³⁾ and CIVIC⁽¹¹¹⁾ were carried

out in Canadian dementia clinics and, like PROMPT, participants frequently had medical and psychiatric comorbidities. CSHA⁽¹¹²⁾ also documented depression (8.0%), psychiatric conditions (6.6%), and substance abuse (8.3%) as common contributors to cognitive decline. Average MMSE scores in ACCORD⁽¹¹³⁾ were similar to those in PROMPT (M = 26.9, SD = 3.0), while those in CIVIC⁽¹¹¹⁾ were lower (M = 21.9, SD = 6.2). The differences in the MMSE scores between

PROMPT and CIVIC patients may be attributable to the fact that they were a decade older, on average, than PROMPT patients and may have had more severe neurological damage (e.g., stroke).

Our results highlight the diverse presumed neuropathological etiologies of MCI in clinical practice, which is not reflected in the Canadian research literature. The vast majority (95%) of identified published studies focused on MCI due to

TABLE 3.
Sample and health characteristics of MCI-PD participants

	PROMPT Registry n = 12	Systematic Review ^a n = 100	t/χ ²	df	p	95% CI	Cohen's d/h
<i>Sociodemographic Characteristics</i>							
Age, years	66.94 (6.28)	66.45 (6.87)	0.24	110	.81	[-3.64, 4.62]	0.07
Sex, n (%)							
Female	2 (16.7%)	29 (35.4%) ^b	1.67	1	.20	[-10.74, 34.15]	0.43
Male	10 (83.3%)	53 (64.6%)	1.64	1	.20	[-10.99, 34.82]	0.43
Education, years	17.67 (4.23)	13.88 (3.49)	3.07	107	.003	[1.34, 6.24]	0.98
Race/Ethnicity, n (%)							
Caucasian	9 (90.0%) ^c	22 (100%) ^d					
Non-Caucasian	1 (10.0%)						
<i>Health Characteristics</i>							
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	4 (33.3%)	n/a					
Coronary artery disease	3 (25.0%)	n/a					
Atrial fibrillation or flutter	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Congestive heart failure	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Other	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Cerebrovascular disease, n (%)	2 (16.7%)	n/a					
Ischemic stroke	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Intracerebral haemorrhage	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Unspecified stroke	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Other	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Traumatic brain injury, n (%)	2 (16.7%)	n/a					
Other medical conditions, n (%)							
Hypertension	6 (50.0%)	n/a					
Dyslipidemia	6 (50.0%)	n/a					
Type 2 diabetes	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Other ^e	2 (16.7%)	n/a					
Neurological Disorders, n (%)	7 (58.3%)	n/a					
Parkinsonism	3 (25.0%)	n/a					
Parkinson's disease	3 (25.0%)	n/a					
Seizures/epilepsy	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Delirium	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Other	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Sensory impairment (vision, hearing, unspecified), n (%)	3 (25.0%)	n/a					
Neurological Signs	6 (50.0%)	n/a					
Gait disorder	2 (16.7%)	n/a					
Signs of frontal dysfunction	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Parkinsonism	4 (33.3%)	n/a					
Motor neuron signs	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Neuro-ophthalmologic signs	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Focal or lateralizing signs	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Other	0 (0.0%)	n/a					

TABLE 3. Continued

	PROMPT Registry n = 12	Systematic Review ^a n = 100	t/χ ²	df	p	95% CI	Cohen's d/h
Psychiatric disorders, n (%)	8 (66.7%)	n/a					
Mood disorders	6 (50.0%)	n/a					
Anxiety disorders	1 (8.3%)	n/a					
Psychotic disorders	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Alcohol and other substance use/abuse	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
Other neuropsychiatric symptoms (including PTSD)	0 (0.0%)	n/a					
GDS-15	5.33 (3.64)	n/a					
Depression severity, n (%)							
None	4 (40.0%)	47 (100.0%) ^f	30.97	1	<.001	[30.29,83.18]	1.77
Mild	4 (40.0%)	0 (0.0%)	19.86	1	<.001	[15.62,68.73]	1.37
Moderate	2 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9.57	1	.002	[3.80, 50.98]	0.93
Severe	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0	0	1	[0.0, 0.0]	
<i>Cognitive Characteristics</i>							
MMSE	27.56 (2.70)	28.07 (1.23) ^g	0.93	50	.36	[-1.61, 0.59]	0.24
MoCA	24.25 (3.65)	25.82 (2.02) ^h	2.06	69	.04	[-3.09, -0.05]	0.53

n/a = Medical, neurological, and psychiatric conditions were either excluded or not reported.

^aTwo studies did not report any inclusion/exclusion criteria or any medical or psychiatric comorbidities. Four studies provided inclusion/exclusion criteria based on current or history of systemic or psychiatric illnesses (see Appendix A).

^bOne article did not report sex distribution (18 missing cases).

^cTwo MCI-PD cases did not report race information.

^dOne article reported race/ethnicity, wherein 100% of sample was Caucasians (n = 22).

^eThe “Other” category of other medical conditions included Meniere’s disease, arthritis, and hypothyroidism.

^fDepression severity frequency was determined based on published severity cut-off scores of the average total scores of the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) or the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) found in three articles, n = 47.

^gTwo Studies used MMSE to measure general cognition, n = 40.

^hFour articles used MoCA to measure general cognition, n = 60.

AD, conceptualized as cognitive impairment primarily affecting memory not better accounted for by other neurologic insults. In the PROMPT sample, however, only about a quarter of patients were thought to have pure AD as the cause for MCI. Many more cases were presumed to have a vascular etiological basis in whole or in part, as well as a large number of other conditions. These results, together with the broader findings of multiple comorbidities in our clinical sample, support our initial hypothesis that memory clinic patients are considerably more diverse in many respects than those included in research studies.

Strengths and Limitations

This study represents an important first step in evaluating the real-world representativeness of participants with MCI in Canadian research protocols, and demonstrates key differences between characteristics of memory clinic patients and research samples. Our clinical MCI cohort was fairly large and diverse, and considered generally representative of the MCI clientele served in Calgary. However, it represented a convenience sample that is likely to differ from other Canadian MCI cohorts, and findings may not be generalizable to clinics in other parts of Canada and elsewhere. Our findings may also not be generalizable to population-based samples of person with MCI. Other limitations include the fact that

we only examined cognitive performance on the MMSE and MoCA tests; a more comprehensive neuropsychological battery could provide more information about relevant differences between clinic and research samples. Nevertheless, findings highlight the importance of interpreting MMSE and MoCA scores together with patients’ medical and psychiatric history. While we attempted to ensure sample independence in the systematic review, certain participants may have ended up in multiple studies, which could have inflated between-group differences. Moreover, multiple comparison tests may have inflated Type I error rates. Therefore, results should be interpreted with caution; however, substantial effect sizes were found for the aforementioned comparison tests, suggesting practical significance. The accuracy of the etiological diagnoses assigned to the MCI cases in PROMPT cannot be guaranteed, nor can those of patients included in the studies within the systematic review. In PROMPT, routine clinical protocols for determination of presumed etiology did not include AD biomarker testing, which is currently recommended in Canada for research only,⁽¹¹⁵⁾ or neuropathological confirmation. The prevalence of mixed or multiple etiologies was also likely underestimated. Further, we did not examine differences between sub-types of MCI-AD (amnesic vs. non-amnesic). Given that MCI-PD patients are often seen at movement disorders clinics, patients with this form of MCI

were likely underrepresented in the current study. We were unable to examine the MCI-VCI subpopulation, considering this group was underrepresented in the MCI research studies identified in the literature, despite vascular disease being a common contributor to cognitive impairment. Lastly, findings may not be generalizable to non-Caucasian groups.

Despite these limitations, given its relatively large and diverse clinic sample, the current study serves as an important initial step in demonstrating key demographic and clinical differences among MCI memory clinic patients and research participants in Canada.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors recognize financial support from the University of Waterloo (Research Chair to CJM), and the Canada Research Chairs Program (Tier II CRC to BLC).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

The authors declare that no conflicts of interest exist.

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Weighted mean and pooled standard deviation calculation

The weighted mean is a form of average. However, instead of each data point contributing equally to the final average (i.e., an arithmetic mean), some data points contribute more to the final average than others. The weighted mean is calculated by multiplying each data point by the weight, and then dividing it by the sum of all the weights. The weighted mean in the current systematic review was calculated by multiplying the mean scores in each study (i.e., age, years of education, and the MMSE and MoCA scores) by each study’s sample size (i.e., the weight). This was then divided by the sum of the sample sizes in all studies. See the weighted mean formula below:

$$\bar{x}_{weighted} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i * w_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

x = mean; w = sample size

The pooled standard deviation is the weighted average of standard deviations. The pooled standard deviation was calculated by: 1) subtracting 1 from each sample size; 2) then multiply the value by the sample variance (i.e., squaring the standard deviation) and sum the multiplied value for all studies; 3) dividing the results from the first two steps by the overall sample size minus the total number of studies; and 4) taking the square root of the weighted variance terms. See the pooled standard deviation formula below:

$$SD_{pooled} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1} (n_k - 1) * SD_k^2}{(\sum n_k) - k}}$$

For studies that reported median, range, or interquartile range, the mean and standard deviations were estimated via an online calculator (<http://www.comp.hkbu.edu.hk/~xwan/median2mean.html>). Specifically, if the range of a score was provided in an article, the standard deviation of the sample was estimated using methods proposed by Hozo *et al.*⁽¹⁾ If the article presented only median and interquartile range, the mean of the sample was estimated using methods proposed by Luo and colleagues,⁽²⁾ and the standard deviation was estimated using methods proposed by Wan and colleagues.⁽³⁾

REFERENCES

1. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2005;5(1); Article number 13. Available from: <https://bmcmredresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2288-5-13>
2. Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, *et al.* Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res.* 2018;27(6):1785–805. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0962280216669183>
3. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, *et al.* Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2014;14(1); Article number 135. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/1471-2288-14-135>.

Appendix B. Articles retained in the systematic review

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Kabani et al. ⁽²⁸⁾	Québec	15	M = 77.4, SD = 5.8	F: 8 (53.33%) M: 7 (46.67%)			Petersen ⁽¹¹⁶⁾	M = 27.73			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Massoud et al. ⁽¹¹⁷⁾	Québec	13	M = 76.5, SD = 4.94			M = 10.4, SD = 3.78	ICD-10 ⁽¹¹⁸⁾	M = 26.5, SD = 2.01			Prospect cohort	Exclusion: Serious chronic medical illness or cancer, CVD, clinical, laboratory work or neuroimaging data suggestive of another cause for dementia, use of psychoactive drugs, corrected central acuity < 20/50, and inability to perform at least 2 tests/procedures
Wharmough et al. ⁽¹¹⁹⁾	Québec	16	M = 74.5, SD = 9.1	F: 4 (25%) M: 12 (75%)		M = 13, SD = 3.9	Petersen ⁽¹²⁰⁾	M = 27.2, SD = 1.7			Observ.	Exclusion: Blood work confirmed treatable illnesses
Berlin et al. ⁽¹²¹⁾	Québec	106	M = 75.4, SD = 6.8			M = 11.9, SD = 3.8	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.9, SD = 1.6			Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or neurological conditions that explain the cognitive decline
Chantal et al. ⁽¹²³⁾	Québec	14	M = 69.2, SD = 6.8	F: 5 (35.71%) M: 9 (64.39%)		M = 10.3, SD = 4.1	Petersen ⁽¹²⁴⁾	M = 27.0, SD = 1.4			Observ.	Exclusion: Current use of cognitive enhancer, current or past systemic (incl. type 2 diabetes) or psychiatric illness or TBI that may affect cognitive function
Phillips et al. ⁽²⁹⁾	Québec	16	M = 75.8, SD = 6.4	F: 4 (25%) M: 12 (75%)		M = 10.4, SD = 3.6	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.6, SD = 2.1			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Geslani et al. ⁽¹²⁵⁾	Ontario	57	M = 73.07, SD = 7.72	F: 36 (63.16%) M: 21 (36.84%)		M = 12.67, SD = 3.24	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 26.58, SD = 2.20			Observ.	Exclusion: Must be fluent in English, have adequate hearing and vision to complete testing, any neurological condition that may cause memory impairment, DSM-III-R criteria for dementia, evidence of chronic alcohol or other drug abuse, stroke hypoxia, intracranial mass lesions, psychoses, brain trauma
Levinoff et al. ⁽¹²⁶⁾	Québec	34	M = 74.1, SD = 7.1			M = 10.5, SD = 3.6	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.7, SD = 2.1			Observ.	Exclusion: Additional neurological disorders that interfere with normal cognitive functioning, structural brain diseases confirmed by CT and/or MRI
Belleville et al. ⁽¹²⁷⁾	Québec	28	M = 62.33, SD = 7.3			M = 14.6, SD = 5	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 28.94, SD = 1.2		GDS: M = 8.1, SD = 3.8	N-rand feasible	Exclusion: Uncorrected vision or hearing impairment, use of psychotropic medications known to impair cognition, physical mobility or manual dexterity impairment, intellectual deficiency, alcoholism or toxicomania, presence or history of severe psychiatric disorder, cerebrovascular disorders, neurological disorder, general anesthesia in the past 6 months
Duong et al. ⁽¹²⁹⁾	Québec	61	M = 74.68, SD = 6.48			M = 11.03, SD = 3.69	Chertkow et al. ⁽¹³⁰⁾	M = 27.2, SD = 2.25			Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any medical, neurological, or psychiatric explanation for memory loss, except for mild depression

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Hudon et al. ⁽¹³¹⁾	Québec	14	M = 66.6, SD = 11.9	F: 11 (78.57%) M: 3 (21.43%)		M = 13.9, SD = 3.6	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 28.1, SD = 1.5			Observ.	Exclusion: History of TBI, stroke or transitory cerebral ischemia, former intracranial surgery, neurological disorder of cerebral original or associated with another form of dementia, significant psychiatric illness, significant vascular risk factors, alcoholism/drug addiction or excessive alcohol consumption based on DSM-IV, and general anesthesia in the past 12 months
Levinoff et al. ⁽³⁴⁾	Québec	73	M = 74, SD = 7.3			M = 12.7, SD = 3.4	Petersen ⁽¹³²⁾	M = 27.7, SD = 1.9			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Murphy et al. ⁽¹³³⁾	Ontario	33	M = 76.6, SD = 5.4	F: 16 (48.48%) M: 17 (51.52%)		M = 13.9, SD = 3.6	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.4			Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric illness that may contribute to cognitive decline.
Singh et al. ⁽³⁵⁾	Québec	65	M = 75, SD = 6	F: 34 (52.31%) M: 26 (47.69%)			Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 26.9, SD = 2.5			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Belleville et al. ⁽¹³⁴⁾	Québec	25	M = 64.76, SD = 10.83	F: 14 (56%) M: 11 (44%)		M = 14.32, SD = 4.71	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 28.36, SD = 1.98			Observ.	Exclusion: Non-amnesic MCI subtypes, alcohol abuse, presence or history of severe psychiatric disorder, intellectual deficiency, GDS scores > 17, CVD, neurological disorder, systemic diseases known to contribute to cognitive impairment, general anesthesia in the last 6 months, significant impairment of hand mobility, and uncorrected hearing and vision
Standish et al. ⁽⁴²⁾	Ontario	166					Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	SMMSE: M = 27.1, SD = 2.30			Cross-sec	Exclusion: Participants able to communicate in English, and those with GDS scores > 7
Babins et al. ⁽¹³⁶⁾	Québec	82	M = 74.85, SD = 6.04			M = 11.2, SD = 3.43	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.21, SD = 1.84			Observ.	Inclusion: Participants had no evidence of systemic or neurological disease that may affect cognitive function Exclusion: Structural brain disease confirmed by computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging
Belleville et al. ⁽¹³⁷⁾	Québec	20	M = 66.3, SD = 10.9	F: 12 (60%) M: 8 (40%)		M = 13.9, SD = 5	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 28.15, SD = 2.1			Observ.	Exclusion: Non-amnesic MCI, current or a history of alcoholism, severe psychiatric disorder, significant cerebrovascular disorder, neurological disorder, intellectual deficiency, systemic disease known to impair cognition, general anesthesia in the past 6 months, significant impairment of hand mobility
Clément et al. ⁽¹³⁸⁾	Québec	68	M = 69.06, SD = 7.89			M = 13.88, SD = 4.34	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.96, SD = 1.76		GDS-5: M = 1.09, SD = 1.18	Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any significant systemic, neurological, or psychiatric illnesses that may explain cognitive impairment

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Djordjevic et al. ⁽¹³⁹⁾	Québec	51	M = 75.4, SD = 6.75	F: 26 (50.98%) M: 25 (49.02%)		M = 11.5	Chertkow et al. ⁽¹³⁰⁾	M = 27.26		GDS: M = 6.12	Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of neurological, psychiatric, or other medical factors that may affect olfaction
Fellows et al. ⁽¹⁴⁰⁾	Québec	90	M = 73.7, SD = 8.2	F: 45 (50%) M: 45 (50%)		M = 10.7, SD = 3.5	Petersen ^(116,122)	M = 27.5, SD = 1.9			Prospect long	Exclusion: Major depression via <16 on the GDS, systemic or other neurological disease that may interfere with cognitive function, structural brain disease
Houde et al. ⁽³⁶⁾	Québec	60	M = 74.5, SD = 6.5	F: 31 (51.67%) M: 29 (48.33%)		M = 10.5, SD = 3.1	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 27.2, SD = 1.9		GDS: M = 10.4, SD = 5.7	Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Murphy et al. ⁽¹⁴¹⁾	Ontario	17	M = 76.2, SD = 5.7	F: 10 (58.82%) M: 7 (41.18%)		M = 14.5, SD = 2.8	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.3, SD = 2			Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric conditions that may account for memory decline, other than possible incipient AD (through medical history review and current self-report mood status via the GDS or HADS)
Troyer et al. ⁽¹⁴²⁾	Ontario	29	M = 75.1, SD = 7	F: 16 (55.17%) M: 13 (44.83%)		M = 14.3, SD = 2.6	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.7			Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric disease that may account for memory impairment
Troyer et al. ⁽¹⁴³⁾	Ontario	68	M = 75.4, SD = 6.8	F: 36 (52.94%) M: 32 (47.06%)		M = 14.5, SD = 3.3	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.7 1.7, SD =		HADS- Depression: M = 9.1, SD = 5.9	Random control trial (RCT)	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric condition that may account for memory impairment
Bélanger & Belleville ⁽¹⁴⁴⁾	Québec	18					Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 27.3, SD = 1.8			Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any significant systemic, neurological, or psychiatric condition that may contribute to cognitive impairment
Brambati et al. ⁽¹⁴⁵⁾	Québec	25	M = 73.44, SD = 7.05	F: 17 (68%) M: 8 (22%)		M = 13.21, SD = 4.66	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 27.38, SD = 1.53			Observ.	Exclusion: History of systemic or neurological disease including cerebrovascular disease, past or current psychiatric illness, TBI, former intracranial surgery, history of alcoholism or drug abuse, untreated medical or metabolic condition, general anesthesia in the last 12 months, uncorrected hearing and vision problems
Burton et al. ⁽¹⁴⁶⁾	BC	92	M = 79.21, SD = 5.31			M = 13.29 SD = 2.80	Winblad et al. ⁽¹⁴⁷⁾	M = 28.45, SD = 1.34			Cross-sect data extrac long	Exclusion: Diagnosis of dementia, history of significant head injury, other neurological or major medical illnesses (e.g., heart disease, cancer, and PD), severe sensory impairment, extensive substance abuse, current psychiatric diagnoses or use of psychotropic drugs
Clément et al. ⁽¹⁴⁸⁾	Québec	10	M = 67.2, SD = 8.03	F: 7 (70%) M: 3 (30%)		M = 13.7, SD = 3.8	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.6, SD = 1.65		GDS-15: M = 3.29, SD = 2.98	Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any significant medical, neurological, or psychiatric condition that may explain cognitive impairment

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Clément et al. ⁽¹⁴⁹⁾	Québec	30	M = 65.97, SD = 10.43	F: 16 (53.33%) M: 14 (46.67%)		M = 14.5, SD = 4.66	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 28.63, SD = 1.16			Observ.	Exclusion: A diagnosis of probable AD and other forms of dementia, history of neurological or severe psychiatric disorder, cardiovascular disease, alcoholism, drug addiction, using psychoactive drug or general anesthesia in the past 6 months
Hudon et al. ⁽¹⁵⁰⁾	Québec	20	M = 66.1, SD = 7.6	F: 9 (45%) M: 11 (55%)		M = 14.6, SD = 4.2	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.9, SD = 1.8		GDS-5: M = 1.1, SD = 1.3	Observ.	Exclusion: history of TBI, stroke or transitory cerebral ischemia, former intracranial surgery, history of neurological disorder of cerebral origin or associated with another form of dementia (e.g., MS, parkinsonism, frontotemporal dementia), history or current psychiatric illnesses based on DSM-IV criteria, alcoholism/drug addiction according to DSM-IV criteria, unstable metabolic or medical condition, general anesthesia in the past 12 months
Montero-Odasso et al. ⁽¹⁵¹⁾	Ontario	11	M = 76.6, SD = 7.3	F: 6 (54.55%) M: 5 (45.45%)		M = 14.1, SD = 3.4	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 28.7 SD = 1.6	M = 22.8 SD = 1.2		Observ.	Exclusion: Any gait disorder, including PD, previous stroke, clinical osteoarthritis in lower limbs joints, myopathy, or neuropathy, presence of depressive symptoms ($\geq 5/15$ on the GDS)
Montero-Odasso et al. ⁽¹⁵²⁾	Ontario	55	M = 77.7, SD = 5.89	F: 25 (45.45%) M: 30 (54.55%)		M = 12.1, SD = 3.4	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 26.8, SD = 2.1	M = 22.4, SD = 3.2		Observ.	Exclusion: An objective gait disorder due to PD, previous stroke, clinical osteoarthritis in lower limb joints, myopathy, or neuropathy, depressive symptoms ($\geq 5/15$ on the GDS)
Taler et al. ⁽¹⁵⁷⁾	Québec	20	M = 75.8, SD = 7.62	F: 10 (50%) M: 10 (50%)		M = 12.45, SD = 2.72	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.36, SD = 2.27			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Villeneuve et al. ⁽¹⁵³⁾	Québec	68	M = 70.65, SD = 8.66	F: 39 (57.35%) M: 29 (42.65%)	Caucasian: 68 (100%)	M = 14.51, SD = 4.4	Petersen ⁽¹⁵⁴⁾	M = 27.53, SD = 2.2			Observ.	Exclusion: General anesthesia in the past 6 months, history of neurological disease or event (i.e., stroke, PD, epilepsy, brain anoxia), psychiatric disorder (schizophrenia, MDD, alcoholism), or TBI
Arsenaull-Lapierre et al. ⁽¹⁵⁵⁾	Québec	42	M = 76.2, SD = 7.2	F: 18 (42.86%) M: 24 (57.14%)		M = 10.9, SD = 3.2	Petersen ⁽¹²⁴⁾	M = 26.7, SD = 2.1		GDS: M = 6.9, SD = 5.6	Observ.	Exclusion: Reversible causes of cognitive impairment
Bélangier et al. ⁽¹⁵⁶⁾	Québec	20	M = 72.7, SD = 6.8			M = 13.6, SD = 4	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 27.4, SD = 2.1		GDS: M = 1, SD = 1	Observ.	Exclusion: Any significant systemic, neurological or psychiatric conditions that may contribute to cognitive impairment
Clément & Belleville ⁽¹⁵⁷⁾	Québec	26	M = 67.85, SD = 8.71	F: 15 (57.69%) M: 11 (42.31%)		M = 14.47, SD = 3.95	Petersen (120,124,147)	M = 27.66, SD = 1.60			Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any significant systemic, neurological, or psychiatric conditions that may affect cognition

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Clément et al. ⁽¹⁵⁸⁾	Québec	12	M = 67.83, SD = 7.49	F: 9 (75%) M: 3 (25%)		M = 13.25, SD = 3.96	Petersen (122,128,147)	M = 27.83, SD = 1.59			Observ.	Exclusion: Presence of any medical, neurological or psychiatric illnesses that may contribute to cognitive impairment
Jean et al. ⁽¹⁵⁹⁾	Québec	22	M = 68.55, SD = 7.89	F: 13 (59.09%) M: 9 (40.91%)		M = 14.5, SD = 3.86	Petersen ^(27,128)	M = 29.5, SD = 0.70			RCT	Exclusion: Meeting diagnostic criteria for any dementia, any neurological or systemic problem known to impair cognition, current or past alcohol or drug abuse, chronic psychiatric illness or an acute episode of MDD, psychotropic or other medication known to affect cognition (incl. cholinesterase inhibitor/cognition enhancer)
Joubert et al. ⁽¹⁶⁰⁾	Québec	15	M = 73.7, SD = 6.3	F: 8 (53.33%) M: 7 (46.67%)		M = 12.8, SD = 4.8	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 27.4, SD = 1.6			Observ.	Exclusion: A history of systemic or neurological diseases (incl. cerebrovascular disease, past or current psychiatric illness, TBI, history of alcoholism, untreated medical or metabolic condition), general anesthesia in the past 12 months, or uncorrected hearing or vision impairment
McLaughlin et al. ⁽¹⁶¹⁾	Ontario	21	M = 74.01, SD = 6.22	F: 9 (42.86%) M: 12 (57.14%)		M = 12.15, SD = 2.54	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.21, SD = 2.66			Observ.	Exclusion: Fluent in English, uncorrected vision, presence of neurological or psychiatric illness, stroke, head injury, alcohol or drug abuse, and depression
Montero-Odasso & Muir ⁽¹⁶²⁾	Ontario	43	M = 75.1, SD = 6.3	F: 23 (53.49%) M: 20 (46.51%)		M = 12.7, SD = 3.3	Winblad et al. ⁽¹⁴⁷⁾	M = 3.64, SD = 0.89			Observ.	Exclusion: Inability to understand English, Parkinsonism, use of any psychotropic medication, or diagnosis of depression
Sylvain-Roy et al. ⁽¹⁶³⁾	Québec	20	M = 64.4, SD = 11.5	F: 13 (65%) M: 7 (35%)		M = 14, SD = 4.7	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 28, SD = 2.1			Observ.	Exclusion: Uncorrected vision or hearing impairment, presence or history of alcoholism, severe psychiatric disorder, significant cerebrovascular disorder, neurological disorder, intellectual deficiency, presence of systemic illnesses that affect cognition, general anesthesia in the past 6 months, impairment hand motoricity
Arsenault-Lapierre et al. ⁽¹⁶⁴⁾	Québec	39	M = 72.5, SD = 8.6	F: 22 (56.41%) M: 17 (43.59%)		M = 13, SD = 3	Petersen ⁽¹²⁴⁾	M = 28.1, SD = 1.5		GDS: M = 6; SD = 3.9	Observ.	Exclusion: Serious health problem or systemic cause or other neurological illnesses that could account for cognitive impairment or chronic psychiatric disorder (other than depression)
Belleville et al. ⁽¹⁶⁵⁾	Québec	15	M = 70.13, SD = 7.34	F: 11 (73.33%) M: 4 (26.67%)		M = 13.73, SD = 4.33	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 27.73, SD = 1.87			Non-random training	Exclusion: Uncorrected vision and hearing, probable or possible AD, other forms of dementia, current or history of severe psychiatric disorder, cerebrovascular disease, neurological disorder or alcoholism, general anesthesia in the past 6 months, use of psychotropic medication, presence of MRI exclusion criteria

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Belleville et al. ⁽¹⁶⁶⁾	Québec	28	M = 70.9, SD = 6.5			M = 13.7, SD = 3.8	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.4			Observ.	Exclusion: Alcohol or substance addiction, presence of history of severe psychiatric disorder (i.e., MDD or schizophrenia), dyslexia, intellectual deficiency, significant cerebral disorder, neurological disorder, general anesthesia in the last 6 months, use of medication known to affect memory, individuals with significant musical expertise
Brunet et al. ⁽¹⁶⁷⁾	Québec	33	M = 72.83, SD = 7.52	F: 16 (48.48%) M: 17 (51.52%)		M = 13.00, SD = 5.11	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 23.33, SD = 2.7	GDS: M = 9.15, SD = 2.87	Observ.	Exclusion: A history of neurological disease, including cerebrovascular disease, past or current psychiatric disorders other than major depression, TBI, alcoholism, untreated medical or metabolic condition, general anesthesia in the past 12 months, ECT in the past 12 months, former intracranial surgery, uncorrected hearing and vision problems	
Gagnon & Belleville ⁽¹⁶⁸⁾	Québec	20	M = 73.4, SD = 6.89			M = 15.9, SD = 4.1	Gauthier et al. ⁽¹⁶⁹⁾	M = 27.95, SD = 1.5		Observ.	Exclusion: Alcoholism, general anesthesia in the past 6 months, presence of history of severe psychiatric disorders, neurological disorders, cerebrovascular disorder or stroke	
Gao et al. ⁽¹⁷⁰⁾	Ontario	23	M = 70.2, SD = 6.8	F: 10 (43.48%) M: 13 (56.52%)		M = 14.3, SD = 3.2	Petersen ⁽²²⁾	M = 27.3, SD = 2.4		Observ.	Exclusion: Secondary causes of dementia, comorbid neurological or psychiatric illness	
Hudon et al. ⁽¹⁷¹⁾	Québec	23	M = 66.8, SD = 8.8	F: 9 (39.13%) M: 14 (60.87%)		M = 14.6, SD = 4.2	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.7, SD = 2	GDS-5: M = 1.1, SD = 1.4	Observ.	Exclusion: History of TBI, stroke or transitory cerebral ischemia, former intracranial surgery, neurological disorder of cerebral original or other dementia (e.g., MS, parkinsonism, frontotemporal dementia), psychiatric illness, current or history of alcoholism or drug addiction, unstable metabolic or medical condition, general anesthesia in the past 6 months	
Matteau et al. ⁽¹⁷²⁾	Québec	22	M = 70, SD = 6.8	F: 12 (54.55%) M: 10 (45.45%)		M = 13.7, SD = 4.4	Petersen ^(27,147)	M = 28.8, SD = 1.8	HAMD: M = 4.3, SD = 3.5	Observ.	Exclusion: acute episode of MDD determined by HAMD (scores > 13), any neurological or systemic problem other than PD and AD known to affect cognition (e.g., TBI, tumor), deep brain stimulation or other brain neurosurgery, current or past alcohol or drug abuse, chronic psychiatric illness	
Muir et al. ⁽¹⁷³⁾	Ontario	29	M = 73.6, SD = 6.2	F: 17 (58.62%) M: 12 (41.38%)		M = 11.9, SD = 2.9	Winblad et al. ⁽¹⁴⁷⁾	M = 27.5, SD = 1.9	M = 23.4, SD = 2.8	Observ.	Exclusion: Inability to understand English, falls in the past year, Parkinsonism or other neurological disorder with residual deficits, musculoskeletal disorder affecting gait performance, use of psychotropic medication, and MDD	
Protzner et al. ⁽¹⁷⁴⁾	Ontario	14	M = 68.6, SD = 7.4	F: 7 (50%) M: 7 (50%)		M = 13.4, SD = 2.8	Petersen ⁽²⁸⁾	M = 27.7, SD = 1.1		Observ.	Exclusion: Secondary causes of MCI (i.e., vascular, metabolic, nutritional, or mood disorders)	

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Rupasingh et al. ⁽¹⁷⁵⁾	Ontario	12	M = 71.8, SD = 9.9	F: 5 (41.67%) M: 7 (58.33%)		M = 18, SD = 5.4	Petersen ⁽²⁸⁾	M = 27.6, SD = 1.9			Observ.	Exclusion: Contraindications to MRI, clinical depression, substance abuse, diagnosis of other dementia or presence of significant vascular disease or cerebrovascular infarcts
Sherwin et al. ⁽¹⁷⁶⁾	Québec	28	M = 75.93, SD = 6.55	M: 28 (100%)	Caucasian: 77 (100%)	M = 11.63, SD = 4.33	Petersen ⁽²²⁾	M = 27.46, SD = 2.22			RCT	Exclusion: Uncontrolled hypertension, abnormal clotting, hypercoagulable states, sleep apnea, carcinoma of the prostate, hypercalcemia, metabolic disease, severe COPD, renal insufficiency, liver disease, unstable coronary artery disease, history of cerebrovascular accident, recent classical migraines, thrombophlebitis or thromboembolic disease, currently taking Coumadin anti-diabetic medications, cholinesterase inhibitors or other cognitive enhancer medications (e.g., ginkgo biloba, vitamin E)
Vandermorris et al. ⁽¹⁷⁷⁾	BC	77					Winblad et al. ⁽¹⁴⁷⁾	M = 28.6, SD = 1.3			Prospect long	Exclusion: Diagnosed dementia or MMSE <24, a history of significant head injury (loss of consciousness >5), other neurological or major medical illnesses, severe sensory impairment, alcohol or substance abuse, current psychiatric diagnosis, psychotropic drug use, and not fluent in English
Villeneuve et al. ⁽¹⁷⁸⁾	Québec	44	M = 72.67, SD = 7.19	F: 23 (52.27%) M: 21 (47.73%)		M = 13.6, SD = 5.14	Petersen ⁽⁵⁴⁾	M = 27.66, SD = 1.72			Observ.	Exclusion: Dementia, history of temporal lobe epilepsy or other neurological disorders (PD), alcoholism, major psychiatric disease, presence of a stroke or large vessel disease, history of stroke, TBI, general anesthesia in the past 6 months.
Xie et al. ⁽⁴³⁾	Québec	187	M = 80, SD = 6	F: 101 (54.01%) M: 86 (45.99%)		≤ 12 years; n = 56; > 12 years: n = 80; 51 missing cases	Petersen ^(27,122)	M = 26.6, SD = 2			Observ.	Exclusion: Participants with less than 2 MMSE scores
Arsenault-Lapierre et al. ⁽¹⁷⁹⁾	Québec	60	M = 75, SD = 7			M = 11.2, SD = 3.1	Petersen ⁽²⁰⁾	M = 27.1, SD = 2.2		GDS: M = 6.7, SD = 4.9	Observ.	Exclusion: Reversible causes of cognitive dysfunction
Arsenault-Lapierre et al. ⁽¹⁸⁰⁾	Québec	21	M = 77.1, SD = 1.3	F: 7 (33.33%) M: 14 (66.67%)		M = 15.9, SD = 1.0	Petersen ⁽²⁴⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 2	M = 23.5, SD = 0.7		Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric disorder that may account for cognitive impairment
Barnabe et al. ⁽³⁸⁾	Québec	20	M = 76.4, SD = 6.87	F: 8 (40%) M: 12 (60%)		M = 14.6, SD = 4.3	Petersen ^(27,122)	M = 28.35, SD = 1.46			Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Brambati et al. ⁽⁹⁹⁾	Québec	13	M = 72.7, SD = 4.9	F: 7 (53.85%) M: 6 (46.15%)	M = 14.8, SD = 3.9	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 28.7, SD = 1.1	GDS: M = 3.6, SD = 2.3	Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria		
Clément & Belleville ⁽¹⁸¹⁾	Québec	26	M = 67.85, SD = 8.70	F: 15 (57.69%) M: 11 (42.31%)	M = 14.47, SD = 3.95	Petersen ^(122,128,147)	M = 27.66, SD = 1.60		Observ.	Exclusion: Any significant medical, neurological, or psychiatric conditions that may contribute to cognitive difficulties		
Gagnon & Belleville ⁽¹⁸²⁾	Québec	24	M = 67.71, SD = 7.12		M = 14.04, SD = 5.28	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.96, SD = 1.35	GDS: M = 3.34, SD = 2.25	RCT	Exclusion: alcoholism, general anaesthesia in the past 6 months, presence or history of severe psychiatric disorders, TBI, depression, neurological disorders, or stroke, dementia		
Morin et al. ⁽¹⁸³⁾	Québec	12	M = 71.2, SD = 6.19	F: 6 (50%) M: 6 (50%)	M = 14.65, SD = 5.55	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 23.6, SD = 3.53	GDS: M = 8, SD = 1.79	Observ.	Exclusion: History of TBI, presence of significant vascular risk, former intracranial surgery, neurological disorder (e.g., dementia, MS, parkinsonism, frontotemporal dementia); unstable metabolic or medical condition (e.g., uncontrolled diabetes, hypothyroidism), general anaesthesia in the past 12 months, contraindication for MRI, past or current diagnosis of MDD		
Rainville et al. ⁽¹⁸⁴⁾	Québec	81	M = 69.83, SD = 8.20		M = 14.65, SD = 4.16	Petersen ⁽¹³⁵⁾	M = 27.74, SD = 1.65	GDS: M = 3.47, SD = 2.93	Observ.	Exclusion: alcoholism, presence or history of severe psychiatric disorder, neurological disorder or stroke, general anaesthesia in the past 6 months, and MDD		
Troyer et al. ⁽¹⁸⁵⁾	Ontario	24	M = 76.1, SD = 7.6	F: 15 (62.5%) M: 6 (37.5%)	M = 14.2, SD = 2.5	Knopman et al. ⁽¹⁸⁶⁾	M = 27.4, SD = 1.8	HADS-Depression: M = 3, SD = 2.6	Observ.	Exclusion: Medical or psychiatric conditions that may account for memory impairment		
Villeneuve & Belleville ⁽¹⁸⁷⁾	Québec	49	M = 71.6, SD = 7.1	F: 25 (51.02%) M: 24 (48.98%)	M = 13.3, SD = 4.9	Petersen ⁽¹⁵⁴⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.6		Observ.	Exclusion: Dementia, alcoholism, presence of a stroke or large vessel disease on the MRI, history of stroke, TBI, and general anaesthesia in the past 6 months		
Ansado et al. ⁽¹⁸⁸⁾	Québec	11	M = 73.7, SD = 6.3	F: 5 (45.45%) M: 6 (54.55%)	M = 12.8, SD = 4.8	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾	M = 27.4, SD = 1.6		Observ.	Exclusion: History of systemic or neurological disease, past or current psychiatric illness, TBI, history of alcoholism, untreated medical or metabolic condition, general anaesthesia in the past 12 months, uncorrected hearing or vision problems		
Clément et al. ⁽¹⁸⁹⁾	Québec	24	M = 68.42, SD = 9.27	F: 14 (58.33%) M: 10 (41.67%)	M = 14.5, SD = 4.17	Petersen ^(122,128,147)	M = 27.96, SD = 1.78		Observ.	Exclusion: Any significant systemic, neurological or psychiatric condition that may contribute to cognitive impairment		
Cùu et al. ⁽¹⁴⁴⁾	Ontario	20	M = 66.95		M = 15 (n = 17)	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾	M = 28.25		Observ.	Exclusion: Reversible causes of dementia (TSH, B12, folate deficiency)		

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Konsztowicz et al. ⁽⁴⁶⁾	Québec	19	M = 78.21, SD = 6.34	F: 10 (52.63%) M: 9 (47.37%)		M = 11.16, SD = 6.16	Petersen ⁽²²⁾	M = 26.79, SD = 2.54	M = 20.79, SD = 3.68	GDS: M = 2.63, SD = 1.89	Rand feasibil	Exclusion: Inability to comply with treatment program due to significant comorbid illness or an anticipated inability to attend all study sessions
Giuld et al. ⁽⁹⁰⁾	Ontario	14	M = 73.07, SD = 6.44	F: 12 (85.71%) M: 2 (14.29%)		M = 14.57, SD = 1.83	Petersen ⁽²⁴⁾	M = 28.14, SD = 1.46			Observ.	Exclusion: Learned English after the age of 5, psychiatric or medical condition that may account for memory impairment (i.e., prior history of neurological disorder, head injury, dementia, stroke), heart attack, diabetes, anxiety, or psychiatric disorder, normal or controlled cholesterol and thyroid function
Julayanont et al. ⁽⁹¹⁾	Québec	165	M = 73.94, SD = 0.88	F: 107 (64.85%) M: 58 (35.15%)		M = 10.53, SD = 0.45	Petersen ⁽²²⁾		M = 20.18, SD = 0.3		Retro chart review	Exclusion: Moderate to severe white matter disease or other causes of cognitive impairment on the computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging
McLaughlin et al. ⁽⁹²⁾	Québec	16	M = 76.2, SD = 5.3	F: 6 (37.5%) M: 10 (62.5%)	Caucasian: 14 (87.5%); Non- Caucasian: 2 (12.5%)	M = 14.9, SD = 2.9	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.4, SD = 1.6		HADS- Depression: M = 2.8, SD = 2.7	Observ.	Exclusion: History of stroke, TIA, neurological or psychiatric illness, head injury, substance abuse, elevated scores on the HADS, uncorrected visual impairment, or significant hearing impairment
Peltsch et al. ⁽⁹³⁾	Ontario	22	M = 76, SD = 8	F: 12 (54.55%) M: 10 (45.45%)		M = 14, SD = 4	Petersen ⁽²⁴⁾	M = 27, SD = 2			Observ.	Exclusion: Uncorrected visual impairment, visual or psychiatric symptoms other than AD and aMCI
Peters et al. ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Québec	40	M = 72.53, SD = 6.84	F: 23 (57.5%) M: 17 (42.5%)		M = 13.36, SD = 5.05	Petersen ⁽¹⁵⁴⁾	M = 27.70, SD = 1.72			Observ.	Exclusion: Alcoholism, general anesthesia in the last 6 months, presence or history of severe psychiatric disorder, intellectual disability, neurological disease or event (e.g., stroke, PD, epilepsy, brain anoxia), psychiatric disorder (e.g., schizophrenia, MDD), TBI, or systemic disease that may impair cognition
Wu et al. ⁽⁹⁵⁾	Québec	13	M = 69, SD = 5.69	F: 7 (53.85%) M: 6 (46.15%)		M = 13.15, SD = 3.02	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 26.23, SD = 2.05			Observ.	Exclusion: Neurological disease (e.g., stroke, PD, other neurodegenerative diseases), presence of any major structural abnormalities or signs of major vascular pathology; Axis I psychiatric disorder or intellectual disability, use of psychoactive substance, previous or present use of cholinesterase inhibitor
Callahan et al. ⁽⁹⁶⁾	Québec	35	M = 73.19, SD = 7.32	F: 16 (45.71%) M: 16 (45.71%)		M = 12.88, SD = 5.11	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾		M = 23.16, SD = 2.55	GDS: M = 9.09, SD = 3.05	Observ.	Exclusion: History of neurological and cerebrovascular disease, past or current psychiatric illness (other than MDD), TBI, history of alcoholism, untreated medical or metabolic conditions, general anesthesia or ECT in the past 12 months, past intracranial surgery, uncorrected hearing or visual impairment

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnosic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Cloutier et al. ⁽¹⁹⁷⁾	Québec	121	M = 70.54, SD = 8.21	F: 74 (61.16%) M: 47 (38.84%)		M = 14.46, SD = 4.16	Petersen ^(128,147)	M = 27.23, SD = 2.22	M = 23, SD = 3.2	GDS: M = 15.00, SD = 3.66	Long	Exclusion: Uncorrected vision or hearing impairment, currently on AD-related medication, long time use of anxiolytics and antidepressant, severe diagnosis of any severe psychiatric disorder, current major medical condition, current or past alcohol or substance abuse, significant cerebrovascular, neurological, or neurodegenerative disorders, stroke, or large-vessel disease, or general anesthesia within the last 6 months
Gaudreau et al. ⁽¹⁹⁸⁾	Québec	30	M = 73.9, SD = 6.1	F: 18 (60%) M: 12 (40%)		M = 13.6, SD = 5.3	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾	M = 23, SD = 3.2	M = 23, SD = 3.2	GDS: M = 6.8, SD = 4.6	Observ.	Exclusion: History of TBI, stroke or other cerebrovascular disease, delirium (in the past 6 months), formal intracranial surgery, neurological disorder of cerebral origin or another dementia state, encephalitis or bacterial meningitis, unstable metabolic or medical condition, current or past diagnosis of psychiatric illness or dementia according to DSM-IV, oncological treatment in the past 12 months, substance addiction according to the DSM-IV, general anaesthesia in the last 6 months, uncorrected vision or hearing problem, use of experimental medication
Le Page et al. ⁽¹⁹⁹⁾	Québec	10	M = 72.9, SD = 6.42	F: 9 (90%) M: 1 (10%)			Grundman et al. ⁽²⁰⁰⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.99	M = 24.3, SD = 2.06		Observ.	Exclusion: History or physical signs of atherosclerosis or inflammation
Sheldon et al. ⁽⁴⁰⁾	Ontario	16	M = 75.1, SD = 5.7	F: 6 (37.5%) M: 10 (62.5%)		M = 15, SD = 2.9	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾		M = 28.4, SD = 1.2	HADS- Depression: M = 3.3, SD = 2.6	Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria.
ten Brinke et al. ⁽²⁰¹⁾	British Columbia	39	M = 75.15, SD = 3.74	F: 39 (100%)		Grade 9-12 without certificate = 5; High school diploma = 10; Trade or professional certificate or diploma = 5; University certificate or diploma = 8; University degree = 11	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	M = 27.15, SD = 2.05	M = 22.09, SD = 3.19	GDS: M = 0.77, SD = 1.46	RCT	Exclusion: Current medication for which exercise is contraindicated, participated regularly in resistance training or aerobic training in the last 6 months, neurodegenerative disease/stroke, psychiatric diagnosis, dementia of any type, inability to speak or understand English fluently, ERT

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/ Exclusion Criteria
Brayet et al. ⁽²⁰²⁾	Québec	32	M = 63.96, SD = 6.97	F: 10 (31.25%) M: 22 (68.75%)		M = 12.53, SD = 3.67	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾	M = 27.51, SD = 2.13			Observ.	Exclusion: dementia according to DSM-5, sleep apnea, narcolepsy, excessive daytime sleepiness, REM sleep behaviour disorder, major psychiatric disorder, substance abuse, history of stroke or brain injury, uncontrolled hypertension or diabetes, COPD, brain tumour, encephalitis, or EEG abnormalities suggestive of epilepsy
Burhan et al. ⁽²⁰³⁾	Ontario	10	M = 72.7, SD = 9.3	F: 10 (100%)		M = 10.5, SD = 0.8	Petersen ⁽¹²⁴⁾		M = 22.2, SD = 2.5	GDS-15: M = 2.6, SD = 2.7	Observ.	Exclusion: neurodegenerative illness (any form of dementia or PID) stroke, TBI, epilepsy, any major mental illness (e.g., MDD, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, or substance disorder), currently on any cognitive enhancers
Callahan et al. ⁽²⁰⁴⁾	Québec	54	M = 74.44, SD = 6.07	F: 28 (51.85%) M: 26 (48.15%)		M = 13.49, SD = 5.19	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾		M = 23.37, SD = 3.42	M = 6.67, SD = 2.59	Observ.	Exclusion: history of neurological disease, TBI, stroke, psychiatric illness (other than depression), substance abuse, untreated metabolic condition, uncorrected visual/auditory impairment, intracranial surgery, nor general anaesthesia or oncological treatment in the past 6 months
Davidson et al. ⁽⁴¹⁾	Ontario	19	M = 75.63, SD = 6.23	F: 10 (52.63%) M: 9 (47.37%)		M = 16.68, SD = 3.96	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾		M = 22.79, SD = 3.09		Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria. Excluded one participant with poor hearing, one with very low MoCA score (11/30), and three individuals who developed dementia (2 with Alzheimer's disease and 1 fronto-temporal dementia) from data analyses
Langlois et al. ⁽²⁰⁵⁾	Québec	20	M = 77, SD = 6.5	F: 14 (70%) M: 6 (30%)		M = 15, SD = 4.4	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾		M = 26, SD = 2.4		Observ.	Exclusion: History of systemic or neurological disease, TBI, psychiatric illness, history of alcohol or drug abuse, untreated medical or metabolic condition, general anaesthesia in the past 6 months
Nasreddine & Pate ⁽²⁰⁶⁾	Québec	25	M = 72.69				Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾		M = 21.24, SD = 3.57		Observ.	Exclusion: History of central nervous system disorder, or any condition that may account for cognitive deficit, no learning disability, attention deficit disorder, or mental retardation, no physical disability that would influence test results, not pre-existing or current psychiatric illnesses (except for those who with previous depression without hospitalization and has a GDS score of less than 6 in the last 6 months), on any unstable dose of medication that affects the central nervous system, currently on antiepileptic medication, neuroleptics, must not have a score greater than 7 on the subjective memory scale, alcohol consumption exceeding the permitted limit (3 or more 200 ml drinks of 5 to 7% alcohol per day for men; and 2 or more 200ml drinks of 5 to 7% of alcohol per day for women, and 1 or more 200ml drink of 35% alcohol per day for men and women, consumed drugs in the past 5 years.

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/ Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Teasdale et al. ⁽³⁰⁾	Québec	15	M = 71.1, SD = 9	F: 2 (13.33%) M: 13 (86.67%)		M = 14.3, SD = 2.5	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾		M = 24.3, SD = 2.5	GDS: M = 4.8, SD = 3.8	Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Vallet et al. ⁽²⁰⁷⁾	Québec	16	M = 78.19, SD = 6.1	F: 11 (68.75%) M: 5 (31.25%)		M = 14.94, SD = 4.10	Petersen ^(18,23)	M = 28.31, SD = 1.5	M = 25.62, SD = 2.1		Observ.	Exclusion: Medical history of or currently taking medications for conditions with known sensory or neurological effects; participants with diagnoses of depression and/or anxiety were included if they were stable on medication or non-symptomatic at the time of study
Boeti et al. ⁽²⁰⁸⁾	Québec	42	M = 69.62, SD = 9.31	F: 20 (47.62%) M: 22 (52.38%)		M = 12.27, SD = 5.07	Chertkow et al. ⁽¹³⁰⁾	M = 27.93, SD = 1.83	M = 23.22, SD = 3.86		Cross-sect	Exclusion: severe psychiatric or systemic disorder, cognitive impairment attributed to large vessel stroke, TBI, alcohol abuse, sleep apnea, or cancer and related treatment
Callahan et al. ⁽²⁰⁹⁾	Québec	58	M = 73.93, SD = 6.67	F: 29 (50%) M: 29 (50%)		M = 13.54, SD = 3.98	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾		M = 23.69, SD = 3.03	GDS: M = 6.85, SD = 2.19	Observ.	Exclusion: History of neurological disease, brain injury, stroke, psychiatric illness (other than depression), substance abuse, general anesthesia, intracranial surgery or oncologic treatment in the past 6 months, or untreated metabolic conditions, or uncorrected visual/auditory impairment
Crockett et al. ⁽²¹⁰⁾	British Columbia	40	M = 76.75, SD = 5.8	F: 21 (52.5%) M: 19 (47.5%)			Petersen ⁽²²⁾	M = 27.5, SD = 1.3	M = 22.3, SD = 2.7		Cross-sect	Exclusion: Formal diagnosis of neurodegenerative disease, stroke, dementia of any type, or psychiatric conditions, clinically significant neuropathy or severe musculoskeletal or joint disease, currently on psychotropic medication, have a history of carotid sinus sensitivity, living in a nursing home, extended care facility, or assisted-care facility, ineligible for MRI scanning
Hird et al. ⁽³¹⁾	Ontario	24	M = 66.5, SD = 9.5	F: 10 (41.67%) M: 14 (58.33%)		M = 15, SD = 2.6	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾		M = 23.8, SD = 1.9		Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Knezevic et al. ⁽²¹¹⁾	Ontario	11	M = 71.91, SD = 5.3	F: 6 (54.55%) M: 5 (45.45%)		M = 15.82, SD = 2.36	Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.3, SD = 1.95	M = 21.7, SD = 3.6		Observ.	Exclusion: Concurrent Axis I DSM-IV disorder, history of closed head injury with loss of consciousness, stroke, or other neurological disorder with central nervous system involvement
Le Page et al. ⁽²¹²⁾	Québec	13	M = 72.8, SD = 3.64	F: 9 (69.23%) M: 4 (30.77%)			Grundman et al. ⁽²⁰⁰⁾	M = 26.75, SD = 1.5	M = 24, SD = 2.95		Observ.	Exclusion: History or physical signs of atherosclerosis or inflammation

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Mah et al. ⁽²¹³⁾	Ontario	16	M = 73.5, SD = 7 F: 13 (81.25%) M: 3 (18.75%)	F: 13 (100%)	Caucasian: 16 (100%)	M = 15.6, SD = 3.5	Petersen ⁽¹²⁴⁾	M = 27.8, SD = 1.6	M = 23.14, SD = 3.39	GDS: M = 2.1, SD = 2.8	Observ.	Exclusion: Inability to speak/understand English, MMSE score < 26, history of neurological disorder, unstable medical conditions, currently taking psychotropic medication
Montero-Odasso et al. ⁽²¹⁴⁾	Ontario	112	M = 75.97, SD = 6.88 F: 55 (49.11%) M: 57 (50.89%)	F: 55 (49.11%) M: 57 (50.89%)			Petersen ^(128,147)	M = 27.46, SD = 2.46			Prospect cohort	Exclusion: Inability to speak/understand English, any neurological condition/disease with motor deficits, musculoskeletal disorder of lower limbs affecting gait performance, use of neuroleptics or benzodiazepines, MDD
O'Caomh et al. ⁽²¹⁵⁾	Ontario	766	M = 75.30, SD = 7.43 F: 403 (52.61%) M: 363 (47.39%)	F: 403 (52.61%) M: 363 (47.39%)		M = 12, SD = 2.97	Petersen ⁽¹²²⁾	SMMSE: M = 27.65, SD = 2.23			Observ.	Exclusion: Missing demographic information, any dementia, active depression, or unclear diagnosis; individuals with missing data in key measures were also excluded
Belleville et al. ⁽²¹⁶⁾	Québec	127	M = 72.19, SD = 7.28 F: 70 (55.12%) M: 57 (44.88%)	F: 70 (55.12%) M: 57 (44.88%)		M = 14.67, SD = 3.85	Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾		M = 24.44, SD = 3.02	GDS: M = 3.27, SD = 3.05	Single blind RCT	Exclusion: Current psychiatric, cerebrovascular or neurological disorder, substance abuse, general anesthesia in the past 6 months, significant physical mobility impairment, probable or possible dementia, MMSE < 24, cognitive impairment significantly impacting functional independence
Croteau et al. ⁽²¹⁷⁾	Québec	20	M = 76.9, SD = 5.8 F: 11 (55%) M: 9 (45%)	F: 11 (55%) M: 9 (45%)			Petersen ⁽²⁷⁾	M = 27.5, SD = 2			Observ.	Exclusion: smoking, substance abuse, untreated or uncontrolled hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes
Duncan et al. ⁽⁴⁵⁾	Québec	68	M = 73.65, SD = 1.00 F: 32 (47.06%) M: 36 (52.94%)	F: 32 (47.06%) M: 36 (52.94%)		M = 12.4, SD = 0.71	Gauthier et al. ⁽¹⁶⁹⁾ adapted from Petersen ⁽¹²⁸⁾		M = 27.15, SD = 0.36		Observ.	Exclusion: Left-handedness, cognitive function reverting to "normal" after initial MCI diagnosis
Goodman et al. ⁽²¹⁸⁾	Ontario	34	M = 74.8, SD = 5.9 F: 18 (52.94%) M: 16 (47.06%)	F: 18 (52.94%) M: 16 (47.06%)	Caucasian: 23 (68%); Non-Caucasian: 11 (32%)	M = 15.2, SD = 2.3	DSM-5 ⁽²¹⁹⁾	M = 27.6, SD = 3.1			Observ.	Exclusion: Age < 60 years, MADRS ≥ 10, DSM-5 criteria for MDD in the past 10 years, lifetime diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or OCD, diagnosis of alcohol or other substance use disorder within the last 12 months, significant neurological condition, use of cognitive enhancer medication within the past 6 weeks, MoCA < 26, MMSE < 24
Hsu et al. ⁽²²⁰⁾	BC	49	M = 75.4, SD = 6.3 F: 30 (61.22%) M: 19 (38.78%)	F: 30 (61.22%) M: 19 (38.78%)			NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾	M = 27.6, SD = 1.4	M = 22.3, SD = 2.6		Cross-sec	Exclusion: Formal diagnosis of neurodegenerative disease, stroke, any dementia, psychiatric condition, clinically significant neuropathy or severe musculoskeletal or joint disease, taking psychotropic medication or medications that may affect cognition, not expected to start or are stable on fixed dose of antedementia medication in the 12-month study period, a history of carotid sinus sensitivity, living in a nursing home, extended care facility, or assisted-care facility, ineligible for MRI scanning

Appendix B. Continued

Article	Province	Sample Size	Age	Sex	Race/Ethnicity	Education	Diagnostic Criteria	MMSE	MoCA	Depression Measure(s)	Study Design	Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
<i>MCI-PD Articles</i>												
Matteau et al. ⁽¹⁷²⁾	Québec	22	M = 68.3, SD = 9.3	F: 10 (45.45%) M: 12 (54.55%)		M = 13.1, SD = 4.5	Petersen ^(27,147)	M = 27.8, SD = 1.4		HAMD: M = 4.0, SD = 3.1	Observ.	Exclusion: Acute episode of MDD determined by HAM-D (scores > 13), any neurological or systemic problems other than PD and AD known to affect cognition (e.g., TBI, tumour), deep brain stimulation or other brain neurosurgery, current or past alcohol or drug abuse, chronic psychiatric illness
Villeneuve et al. ⁽²²¹⁾	Québec	18	M = 67.72, SD = 7.71			M = 15.06, SD = 3.99	Petersen ⁽¹⁵⁴⁾	M = 28.39, SD = 0.98			Observ.	Exclusion: Dementia or MDD according to DSM-IV-TR, sleep apnea index > 20, abnormal EEG suggestive of epilepsy, unstable diabetes or hypertension, encephalitis, age > 90, language other than French or English, primary school uncompleted
Hanganu et al. ⁽³²⁾	Québec	18	M = 64.7, SD = 4.5	F: 5 (27.78%) M: 13 (72.22%)		M = 13.4, SD = 3.2	The Movement Disorder Society Task Force ⁽²²²⁾		M = 26.5, SD = 1.6		Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
Christopher et al. ⁽²²³⁾	Ontario	11	M = 70.8, SD = 7.01	F: 3 (27.27%) M: 8 (72.73%)		M = 16.2, SD = 1.47	The Movement Disorder Society Task Force ⁽²²²⁾		M = 23.2, SD = 2.79	BDI-II: M = 5.54, SD = 5.87	Observ.	Exclusion: any other neurological or psychiatric condition, dementia
Hanganu et al. ⁽²²⁴⁾	Québec	17	M = 64.01, SD = 5.36	F: 6 (35.29%) M: 11 (64.71%)		M = 13.47, SD = 3.37	The Movement Disorder Society Task Force ⁽²²²⁾		M = 26.25, SD = 2.02		Observ.	Exclusion: Evidence of cognitive abnormalities not attributed to age.
Nagano-Saito et al. ⁽³³⁾	Québec	14	M = 63.7, SD = 5.01	F: 5 (35.71%) M: 9 (64.29%)		M = 12.9, SD = 2.46	The Movement Disorder Society Task Force ⁽²²²⁾		M = 26.5, SD = 1.79	BDI-II: M = 11.1, SD = 6.57	Observ.	No mention of exclusion criteria
<i>MCI-VD Article</i>												
Gu et al. ⁽⁴⁴⁾	Ontario	20	M = 66.15			M = 14.45	NIA-AA ⁽¹⁸⁾ along with imaging data	M = 27.56			Observ.	Exclusion: Reversible causes of dementia (TSH, B12, folate deficiency)

Study Designs: RCT = randomized control trial; Observ. = observational; Prospect cohort = prospective cohort; N-rand feasibil = non-randomized feasibility; Cross-sect = cross-sectional; Prospect long = prospective longitudinal; Cross-sect data extrac long = Cross-sectional data extracted from a longitudinal study.

AD = Alzheimer's disease; B12 = Vitamin B12; BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory-II; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT = computed tomography; CVD = cardiovascular disease; DSM-IV-TR = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder, fourth edition, text revision; ECT = electroconvulsive therapy; EEG = electroencephalography; ERT = estrogen replacement therapy; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; ICD = International Classification of Diseases; MADRS = Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MDD = major depressive disorder; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NIA-AA = National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association; OCD = obsessive compulsive disorder; PD = Parkinson's disease; REM = eye movement; SMMSE = Standardized Mini-Mental Status Exam; TBI = traumatic brain injury; TIA = transient ischemic attack; TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone.

REFERENCES

* Articl diagnostic criteria used in articles included in the systematic review.

1. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment. *Continuum*. 2016;22(2, Dementia):404–18.
2. Stephan BCM, Brayne C, Savva GM, *et al*. Occurrence of medical comorbidity in mild cognitive impairment: implications for generalisation of MCI research. *Age Ageing*. 2011;40(4):501–07.
3. Jongsma KR, van Bruchem-Visser RL, van de Vathorst S, *et al*. Has dementia research lost its sense of reality? A descriptive analysis of eligibility criteria of Dutch dementia research protocols. *Neth J Med*. 2016;74(5):201–09.
4. Trivedi RB, Humphreys K. Participant exclusion criteria in treatment research on neurological disorders: are unrepresentative study samples problematic? *Contemp Clin Trials* [Internet]. 2015;44:20–25. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S155171441530046X>
5. Zulman DM, Sussman JB, Chen X, *et al*. Examining the evidence: a systematic review of the inclusion and analysis of older adults in randomized controlled trials. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26(7):783–90.
6. Surman CBH, Monuteaux MC, Petty CR, *et al*. Representativeness of participants in a clinical trial for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? Comparison with adults from a large observational study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71(12):1612–16.
7. Statistics Canada. Public Health Agency. How healthy are Canadians? [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2017. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/publications/healthy-living/how-healthy-canadians.html>
8. Statistics Canada. Health at a Glance. Mental and substance use disorders in Canada [archived]. Catalogue #82-624-X. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2015.
9. Lopez OL, Jagust WJ, Dullberg C, *et al*. Risk factors for mild cognitive impairment in the Cardiovascular Health Study Cognition Study. *Arch Neurol*. 2003;60(10):1394–99.
10. Liao W, Hamel REG, Olde Rikkert MGM, *et al*. A profile of The Clinical Course of Cognition and Comorbidity in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Study (The 4C study): two complementary longitudinal, clinical cohorts in the Netherlands. *BMC Neurol* [Internet]. 2016;16(1):242. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12883-016-0750-9>
11. Plassman BL, Williams JW, Burke JR, Holsinger T, Benjamin S. Systematic review: Factors associated with risk for and possible prevention of cognitive decline in later life. *Ann Intern Med*. 2010 Aug;153(3):182–93.
12. Tse E, Hogan D, Fischer K, *et al*. PROMPT: A prospective registry of persons with memory symptoms. In: Campus Alberta Neuroscience 2016 International Conference Abstracts. 2016. Abstract #86.
13. Hogan DB, Thierer DE, Eby EM, *et al*. Progression and outcome of patients in a Canadian dementia clinic. *Can J Neurol Sci*. 1994;21(04):331–38.
14. Hu C, Yu D, Sun X, *et al*. The prevalence and progression of mild cognitive impairment among clinic and community populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Psychogeriatrics*. 2017;29(10):1595–608.
15. Sheikh F, Ismail Z, Mortby ME, *et al*. Prevalence of mild behavioural impairment in mild cognitive impairment and subjective cognitive decline, and its association with caregiver burden. *Int Psychogeriatrics*. 2018;30(02):233–44.
16. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1975;12(3):189–98.
17. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, *et al*. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53(4):695–99.
18. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, *et al*. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer’s disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer’s disease. *Alzheimer’s Dement*. 2011;7(3):270–79.
19. De Mendonça A, Ribeiro F, Guerreiro M, *et al*. Frontotemporal mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimer’s Dis*. 2004;6(1):1–9.
20. Donaghy PC, O’Brien JT, Thomas AJ. Prodromal dementia with Lewy bodies. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(2):259–68.
21. Day GS, Lim TS, Hassenstab J, *et al*. Differentiating cognitive impairment due to corticobasal degeneration and Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2017;88(13):1273–81.
22. Pilotto A, Gazzina S, Benussi A, *et al*. Mild cognitive impairment and progression to dementia in progressive supranuclear palsy. *Neurodegener Dis*. 2017;17(6):286–91.
23. Gorelick PB, Scuteri A, Black SE, *et al*. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2011;42(9):2672–713.
24. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, *et al*. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale—a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1983;17(1):37–49.
25. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, *et al*. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2009;62(10):e1–e34.
26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al*. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;151(4):264–69.
27. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med*. 2004;256(3):183–94.
- *28. Kabani NJ, Sled JG, Chertkow H. Magnetization transfer ratio in mild cognitive impairment and dementia of Alzheimer’s type. *Neuroimage*. 2002;15(3):604–10.
- *29. Phillips NA, Chertkow H, Leblanc MM, *et al*. Functional and anatomical memory indices in patients with or at risk for Alzheimer’s disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2004;10(2):200–10.
- *30. Teasdale N, Simoneau M, Hudon L, *et al*. Older adults with mild cognitive impairments show less driving errors after a multiple sessions simulator training program but do not exhibit long term retention. *Front Hum Neurosci* [Internet]. 2016;10(article 653):1–12. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00653/full>
- *31. Hird MA, Vesely KA, Fischer CE, *et al*. Investigating simulated driving errors in amnesic single- and multiple-domain mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimer’s Dis*. 2017;56(2):447–52.
- *32. Hanganu A, Bedetti C, Jubault T, *et al*. Mild cognitive impairment in patients with Parkinson’s disease is associated with increased cortical degeneration. *Movement Disord*. 2013;28(10):1360–69.
- *33. Nagano-Saito A, Habak C, Mejia-Constain B, *et al*. Effect of mild cognitive impairment on the patterns of neural activity in early Parkinson’s disease. *Neurobiol Aging* [Internet]. 2014;35(1):223–31. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197458013002868>
- *34. Levinoff EJ, Phillips NA, Verret L, *et al*. Cognitive estimation impairment in Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychology*. 2006;20(1):123–32.
- *35. Singh V, Chertkow H, Lerch JP, *et al*. Spatial patterns of cortical thinning in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease. *Brain*. 2006;129(11):2885–93.
- *36. Houde M, Bergman H, Whitehead V, *et al*. A predictive depression pattern in mild cognitive impairment. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008;23(10):1028–33.
- *37. Taler V, Klepousniotou E, Phillips NA. Comprehension of lexical ambiguity in healthy aging, mild cognitive impairment, and mild Alzheimer’s disease. *Neuropsychologia*. 2009;47(5):1332–43.
- *38. Barnabe A, Whitehead V, Pilon R, *et al*. Autobiographical memory in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease: a comparison between the Levine and Kopelman interview methodologies. *Hippocampus*. 2012;22(9):1809–25.

- *39. Brambati SM, Peters F, Belleville S, *et al.* Lack of semantic priming effects in famous person recognition in Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Cortex*. 2012;48(4):414–20.
- *40. Sheldon S, Vandermorris S, Al-Haj M, *et al.* Ill-defined problem solving in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: linking episodic memory to effective solution generation. *Neuropsychologia*. 2015;68:168–75.
- *41. Davidson PSR, Cooper L, Taler V. Remembering a visit to the psychology lab: implications of mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2016;90:243–50. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0028393216302731>
- *42. Standish TI, Molloy DW, Cunjje A, *et al.* Do the ABCS 135 short cognitive screen and its subtests discriminate between normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment and dementia? *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2007;22(3):189–94.
- *43. Xie H, Mayo N, Koski L. Predictors of future cognitive decline in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2011;32(5):308–17.
- *44. Gu J, Fischer CE, Saposnik G, *et al.* Profile of cognitive complaints in vascular mild cognitive impairment and mild cognitive impairment. *ISRN Neurol* [Internet]. 2013; Article ID: 865827. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3830842&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- *45. Duncan HD, Nikelski J, Pilon R, *et al.* Structural brain differences between monolingual and multilingual patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease: Evidence for cognitive reserve. *Neuropsychologia*. 2018;109:270–82.
- *46. Konzstowicz S, Anton J, Crane J, *et al.* A pilot study of training and compensation interventions for mild cognitive impairment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra* [Internet]. 2013;3(1):192–201. Available from: <http://www.karger.com?doi=10.1159/000350026>
47. Statistics Canada. Appendix 1: Structure of education and training in Canada. In: Education Indicators in Canada: Handbook for the Pan-Canadian Education Indicator Program. [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2012. Available from: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/81-582-g/2012001/app-ann/app-ann1-eng.htm>
48. Statistics Canada. Education Highlight Tables, 2016 Census. Highest level of educational attainment (general) by selected age groups 25 to 64, both sexes ... [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada, Census 2016; 2017. Available from: <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/hltfst/edu-sco/Table.cfm?Lang=E&T=12&Geo=00&View=2&Age=2&SO=11A>
49. Calgary Economic Development. Migration to Calgary [Internet]. Calgary, AB: The Department; 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 24]. Available from: <https://www.calgaryeconomicdevelopment.com/research-and-reports/demographics-lp/migration/>
50. Todd M, Davis KE, Cafferty TP. Who volunteers for adult development research? Research findings and practical steps to reach low volunteering groups. *Int J Aging Hum Dev*. 1984;18(3):177–84.
51. Petersen RC, Roberts RO, Knopman DS, *et al.* Prevalence of mild cognitive impairment is higher in men: The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *Neurology*. 2010;75(10):889–97.
52. Roberts RO, Geda YE, Knopman DS, *et al.* The incidence of MCI differs by subtype and is higher in men: The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *Neurology*. 2012;78(5):342–51.
53. Vassilaki M, Cha RH, Aakre JA, *et al.* Mortality in mild cognitive impairment varies by subtype, sex, and lifestyle factors: The Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2015;45(4):1237–45.
54. Lin KA, Choudhury KR, Rathakrishnan BG, *et al.* Marked gender differences in progression of mild cognitive impairment over 8 years. *Alzheimer's Dement Transl Res Clin Interv* [Internet]. 2015;1(2):103–10. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352873715000190>
55. Au B, Dale-McGrath S, Tierney MC. Sex differences in the prevalence and incidence of mild cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev* [Internet]. 2017;35:176–99. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568163716301453>
56. Fornazzari L, Fischer C, Hansen T, *et al.* Knowledge of Alzheimer's disease and subjective memory impairment in Latin American seniors in the Greater Toronto Area. *Int Psychogeriatrics*. 2009;21(5):966–69.
57. Quan H, Fong A, De Coster C, *et al.* Variation in health services utilization among ethnic populations. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2006;174(6):787–91.
58. George S, Duran N, Norris K. A systematic review of barriers and facilitators to minority research participation among African Americans, Latinos, Asian Americans, and Pacific Islanders. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104(2):e16–e31.
59. Calgary Economic Development. Fact Sheet : Calgary Small Business [Internet]. Calgary, AB: Calgary Economic Development; 2017. Available from: <https://www.calgaryeconomicdevelopment.com/dmsdocument/166>
60. Statistics Canada. Immigration and Ethnocultural Diversity in Canada. National Household Survey, 2011 [Internet]. Catalogue #99-010-X2011001. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2013. Available from: <http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/nhs-enm/2011/as-sa/99-010-x/99-010-x2011001-eng.pdf>
61. Volkert J, Schulz H, Härter M, *et al.* The prevalence of mental disorders in older people in Western countries—a meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2013;12(1):339–53.
62. Kuerbis A, Sacco P, Blazer DG, *et al.* Substance abuse among older adults. *Clin Geriatr Med*. 2014;30(3):629–54.
63. Østybye T, Kristjansson B, Hill G, *et al.* Prevalence and predictors of depression in elderly Canadians: The Canadian Study of Health and Aging. *Chron Dis Inj Can*. 2005;26(4):93–99.
64. Rabins P V, Black B, German P, *et al.* The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in elderly residents of public housing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 1996;51(6):M319–24.
65. Snowden MB, Atkins DC, Steinman LE, *et al.* Longitudinal association of dementia and depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2015;23(9):897–905.
66. Cherbuin N, Kim S, Anstey KJ. Dementia risk estimates associated with measures of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5(12).
67. Langballe EM, Ask H, Holmen J, *et al.* Alcohol consumption and risk of dementia up to 27 years later in a large, population-based sample: the HUNT study, Norway. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2015;30(9):1049–56.
68. Handing EP, Anel R, Kadlecova P, *et al.* Midlife alcohol consumption and risk of dementia over 43 years of follow-up: a population-based study from the Swedish Twin Registry. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2015;70(10):1248–54.
69. Kaup AR, Byers AL, Falvey C, *et al.* Trajectories of depressive symptoms in older adults and risk of dementia. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73(5):525–31.
70. Ismail Z, Gatchel J, Bateman DR, *et al.* Affective and emotional dysregulation as pre-dementia risk markers: exploring the mild behavioral impairment symptoms of depression, anxiety, irritability, and euphoria. *Int Psychogeriatrics*. 2018;30(02):185–96.
71. Rosenberg PB, Mielke MM, Appleby BS, *et al.* The association of neuropsychiatric symptoms in MCI with incident dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2013;21(7):685–95.
72. Ismail Z, Malick A, Smith EE, *et al.* Depression versus dementia: is this construct still relevant? *Neurodegener Dis Manag*. 2014;4(2):119–26.
73. Almeida OP, Hankey GJ, Yeap BB, *et al.* Depression as a modifiable factor to decrease the risk of dementia. *Transl Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2017;7(5):e1117. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/tp201790/>
74. Tapiainen V, Hartikainen S, Taipale H, *et al.* Hospital-treated mental and behavioral disorders and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a nationwide nested case-control study. *Eur Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2017;43:92–98. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0924933817327669>
75. Singh-Manoux A, Dugravot A, Fournier A, *et al.* Trajectories of depressive symptoms before diagnosis of dementia: a 28-year follow up study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017;74(7):712–18.
76. Ismail Z, Aguera-Ortiz L, Brodaty H, *et al.* The Mild Behavioral Impairment Checklist (MBI-C): a rating scale for neuropsychiatric symptoms in pre-dementia populations. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2017;56(3):929–38.

77. Ismail Z, Smith EE, Geda Y, *et al.* Neuropsychiatric symptoms as early manifestations of emergent dementia: provisional diagnostic criteria for mild behavioral impairment. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2016;12(2):195–202.
78. Creese B, Brooker H, Ismail Z, *et al.* Mild behavioral impairment as a marker of cognitive decline in cognitively normal older adults. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2019;27(8):823–34. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1064748119302271>
79. Mallo SC, Ismail Z, Pereiro AX, *et al.* Assessing Mild Behavioral Impairment with the Mild Behavioral Impairment-Checklist in people with mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2018;66(1):83–95.
80. Taragano FE, Allegri RF, Heisecke SL, *et al.* Risk of conversion to dementia in a mild behavioral impairment group compared to a psychiatric group and to a mild cognitive impairment group. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2018;62(1):227–38.
81. Matsuoka T, Ismail Z, Narumoto J. Prevalence of mild behavioral impairment and risk of dementia in a psychiatric outpatient clinic. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2019;70(2):505–13.
82. Ismail Z, Saykin AJ. Subjective cognitive decline (SCD) and mild behavioral impairment (MBI): The intersection of two early indicators of AD pathophysiology. Alzheimer's Dement J Alzheimer's Assoc [Internet]. Poster presentation at the 2018 Alzheimer's Association International Conference. 2018;14(7S Part 2-3):P1210. Available from: <https://alz-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.1697>
83. Cano J, Chan V, Kan CN, *et al.* Mild behavioral impairment: prevalence in clinical setting and cognitive correlates. *Alzheimer's Dement* [Internet]. Poster presentation at the 2018 Alzheimer's Association International Conference. 2018;14(7):P639–40. Available from: <https://alz-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.2685>
84. Feder K, Michaud D, Ramage-Morin P, *et al.* Prevalence of hearing loss among Canadians aged 20 to 79: audiometric results from the 2012/2013 Canadian Health Measures Survey [Heal Reports]. Catalogue #82-003-X. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2015.
85. National Coalition for Vision Health. Vision loss in Canada [Internet]. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Ophthalmological Society; 2011.
86. Millar WJ. Vision problems among seniors. *Health Rep*. 2004;16(1):45–49.
87. Aljied R, Aubin MJ, Buhmann R, *et al.* Prevalence and determinants of visual impairment in Canada: cross-sectional data from the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Can J Ophthalmol* [Internet]. 2018;53(3):291–97. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0008418217311353>
88. Swenor BK, Ramulu PY, Willis JR, *et al.* The prevalence of concurrent hearing and vision impairment in the United States [research letter]. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173(4):312–13.
89. Wei J, Hu Y, Zhang L, *et al.* Hearing impairment, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord Extra*. 2017;7(3):440–52.
90. Fischer ME, Cruickshanks KJ, Schubert CR, *et al.* Age-related sensory impairments and risk of cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2016;64(10):1981–87.
91. Lin FR, Yaffe K, Xia J, *et al.* Hearing Loss and Cognitive Decline in Older Adults. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173(4):293–99.
92. Ford AH, Hankey GJ, Yeap BB, *et al.* Hearing loss and the risk of dementia in later life. *Maturitas*. 2018;112:1–11.
93. Amieva H, Ouvrard C, Giulioli C, *et al.* Self-reported hearing loss, hearing aids, and cognitive decline in elderly adults: A 25-year study. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63(10):2099–104.
94. Brenowitz WD, Kaup AR, Lin FR, *et al.* Multiple sensory impairment is associated with increased risk of dementia among black and white older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2019;74(6):890–96.
95. Loughrey DG, Kelly ME, Kelley GA, *et al.* Association of age-related hearing loss with cognitive function, cognitive impairment, and dementia. *JAMA Otolaryngol Neck Surg*. 2018;144(2):115–26.
96. LoBue C, Denney D, Hynan LS, *et al.* Self-reported traumatic brain injury and mild cognitive impairment: increased risk and earlier age of diagnosis. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2016;51(3):727–36.
97. Li W, Risacher SL, McAllister TW, *et al.* Traumatic brain injury and age at onset of cognitive impairment in older adults. *J Neurology*. 2017;263(7):1280–85.
98. LoBue C, Woon FL, Rossetti HC, *et al.* Traumatic brain injury history and progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer Disease. *Neuropsychology*. 2018;32(4):401–09.
99. Schaffert J, LoBue C, White CL, *et al.* Traumatic brain injury history is associated with an earlier age of dementia onset in autopsy-confirmed Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychology*. 2018;32(4):410–16.
100. Fann JR, Ribe AR, Pedersen HS, *et al.* Long-term risk of dementia among people with traumatic brain injury in Denmark: a population-based observational cohort study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2018;5(5):424–31.
101. Washington PM, Villapol S, Burns MP, *et al.* Polypathology and dementia after brain trauma: Does brain injury trigger distinct neurodegenerative diseases, or should it be classified together as traumatic encephalopathy? HHS Public Access. *Exp Neurol* [Internet]. 2016;275(3):381–88. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4681695/pdf/nihms707585.pdf>
102. Collins-Praino LE, Corrigan F. Does neuroinflammation drive the relationship between tau hyperphosphorylation and dementia development following traumatic brain injury? *Brain Behav Immun* [Internet]. 2017;60:369–82. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0889159116304366>
103. Vassilaki M, Aakre JA, Cha RH, *et al.* Multimorbidity and risk of mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015 Sep;63(9):1783–90.
104. Koyanagi A, Lara E, Stubbs B, *et al.* Chronic physical conditions, multimorbidity, and mild cognitive impairment in low- and middle-income countries. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2018;66(4):721–27.
105. Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome and the risk of mild cognitive impairment and progression to dementia: follow-up of the Singapore longitudinal ageing study cohort. *JAMA Neurol*. 2016;73(4):456–63.
106. Pal K, Mukadam N, Petersen I, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment and progression to dementia in people with diabetes, prediabetes and metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2018;53(11):1149–60. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00127-018-1581-3>
107. Solfrizzi V, Scafato E, Capurso C, *et al.* Metabolic syndrome, mild cognitive impairment, and progression to dementia. The Italian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2011;32(11):1932–41.
108. Sanmartin C. Research highlights on health and aging [Internet]. Catalogue #11-631-X. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2016. Available from: <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/11-631-x/11-631-x2016001-eng.htm>
109. Fu TS, Jing R, McFall SR, *et al.* Recent trends in hospitalization and in-hospital mortality associated with traumatic brain injury in Canada: a nationwide, population-based study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2015;79(3):449–55.
110. Chan V, Zagorski B, Parsons D, *et al.* Older adults with acquired brain injury: a population based study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2013;13(1):97.
111. Rockwood K, Davis H, MacKnight C, *et al.* The consortium to investigate vascular impairment of cognition: methods and first findings. *Can J Neurolog Sci*. 2003;30(3):237–43.
112. The Canadian Study of Health Aging Working Group. Canadian Study of Health and Aging: study methods and prevalence of dementia. *Can Med Assoc J*. 1994;150(6):899–913.
113. Feldman H, Levy AR, Hsiung G-Y, *et al.* A Canadian cohort study of cognitive impairment and related dementias (ACCORD): study methods and baseline results. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2003;22(5):265–74.
114. Eblly EM, Hogan DB, Parhad IM. Cognitive impairment in the non-demented elderly—results from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging. *Arch Neurol*. 1995;52(6):612–619.
115. Gauthier S, Patterson C, Chertkow H, *et al.* Recommendations of the 4th Canadian Consensus Conference on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Dementia (CCCDTD4). *Can Geriatr J* [Internet]. 2012;15(4):120–6. Available from: <http://www.cgionline.ca/index.php/cgj/article/view/49>

- †116. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, *et al.* Apolipoprotein E status as a predictor of the development of Alzheimer's disease in memory-impaired individuals. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1995;273(16):1274–78.
- *117. Massoud F, Chertkow H, Whitehead V, *et al.* Word-reading thresholds in Alzheimer disease and mild memory loss: a pilot study. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 2002;16(1):31–39.
- †118. World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th Revision. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
- *119. Whatmough C, Chertkow H, Murtha S, *et al.* The semantic category effect increases with worsening anomia in Alzheimer's type dementia. *Brain Lang.* 2003;84(1):134–47.
- †120. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Tangalos EG, *et al.* Longitudinal outcome of patients with a mild cognitive impairment. *Ann Neurol.* 1993;34(2):294–95.
- *121. Berlin D, Chong G, Chertkow H, *et al.* Evaluation of HFE (hemochromatosis) mutations as genetic modifiers in sporadic AD and MCI. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2004;25(4):465–74.
- †122. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol.* 1999;56:303–09.
- *123. Chantal S, Braun CMJ, Bouchard RW, *et al.* Similar 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopic metabolic pattern in the medial temporal lobes of patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. *Brain Res.* 2004;1003(1-2):26–35.
- †124. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, *et al.* Aging, memory, and mild cognitive impairment. *Int Psychogeriatrics.* 1997;9(Suppl. 1):65–69.
- *125. Geslani DM, Tierney MC, Herrmann N, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment: an operational definition and its conversion rate to Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2005;19(5-6):383–89.
- *126. Levinoff EJ, Saumier D, Chertkow H. Focused attention deficits in patients with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Brain Cogn.* 2005;57(2):127–30.
- *127. Belleville S, Gilbert B, Fontaine F, *et al.* Improvement of episodic memory in persons with mild cognitive impairment and healthy older adults: Evidence from a cognitive intervention program. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2006;22(5-6):486–99.
- †128. Petersen RC, Doody R, Kurz A, *et al.* Current concepts in mild cognitive impairment. *Arch Neurol.* 2001;58(12):1985–92.
- *129. Duong A, Whitehead V, Hanratty K, *et al.* The nature of lexico-semantic processing deficits in mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia.* 2006;44(10):1928–35.
- †130. Chertkow H. Mild cognitive impairment. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2002;15(4):401–07.
- *131. Hudon C, Belleville S, Souchay C, *et al.* Memory for gist and detail information in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychology.* 2006;20(5):566–77.
- †132. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment or questionable dementia? *Arch Neurol.* 2000;57(5):643–44.
- *133. Murphy KJ, Rich JB, Troyer AK. Verbal fluency patterns in amnesic mild cognitive impairment are characteristic of Alzheimer's type dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2006;12(4):570–74.
- *134. Belleville S, Chertkow H, Gauthier S. Working memory and control of attention in persons with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychology.* 2007;21(4):458–69.
- †135. Petersen RC. Conceptual overview. In: Petersen RC, editor. *Mild cognitive impairment: aging to Alzheimer's disease.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003. p. 1–14.
- *136. Babins L, Slater ME, Whitehead V, *et al.* Can an 18-point clock-drawing scoring system predict dementia in elderly individuals with mild cognitive impairment? *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* 2008;30(2):173–86.
- *137. Belleville S, Bherer L, Lepage É, *et al.* Task switching capacities in persons with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia.* 2008;46(8):2225–33.
- *138. Clément F, Belleville S, Gauthier S. Cognitive complaint in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2008;14(2):222–32.
- *139. Djordjevic J, Jones-Gotman M, De Sousa K, *et al.* Olfaction in patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2008;29(5):693–706.
- *140. Fellows L, Bergman H, Wolfson C, *et al.* Can clinical data predict progression to dementia in amnesic mild cognitive impairment? *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2008;35(3):314–22.
- *141. Murphy KJ, Troyer AK, Levine B, *et al.* Episodic, but not semantic, autobiographical memory is reduced in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia.* 2008;46(13):3116–23.
- *142. Troyer AK, Murphy KJ, Anderson ND, *et al.* Item and associative memory in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: performance on standardized memory tests. *Neuropsychology.* 2008;22(1):10–16.
- *143. Troyer AK, Murphy KJ, Anderson ND, *et al.* Changing everyday memory behaviour in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: a randomised controlled trial. *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* 2008;18(1):65–88.
- *144. Bélanger S, Belleville S. Semantic inhibition impairment in mild cognitive impairment: a distinctive feature of upcoming cognitive decline? *Neuropsychologia.* 2009;23(5):592–606.
- *145. Brambati SM, Belleville S, Kergoat MJ, *et al.* Single- and multiple-domain amnesic mild cognitive impairment: two sides of the same coin? *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2009;28(6):541–49.
- *146. Burton CL, Strauss E, Bunce D, *et al.* Functional abilities in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Gerontology.* 2009;55(5):570–81.
- †147. Winblad B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment—beyond controversies, towards a consensus: report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment. *J Intern Med.* 2004;256(3):240–46.
- *148. Clément F, Belleville S. Test-retest reliability of fMRI verbal episodic memory paradigms in healthy older adults and in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2009;30(12):4033–47.
- *149. Clément F, Belleville S, Blanger S, *et al.* Personality and psychological health in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Can J Aging.* 2009;28(2):147–56.
- *150. Hudon C, Belleville S, Gauthier S. The assessment of recognition memory using the Remember/Know procedure in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and probable Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Cogn* [Internet]. 2009;70(1):171–79. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0278262609000220>
- *151. Montero-Odasso M, Casas A, Hansen KT, *et al.* Quantitative gait analysis under dual-task in older people with mild cognitive impairment: a reliability study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2009;6(1):35.
- *152. Montero-Odasso M, Bergman H, Phillips NA, *et al.* Dual-tasking and gait in people with mild cognitive impairment. The effect of working memory. *BMC Geriatr.* 2009;9(1):1–8.
- *153. Villeneuve S, Belleville S, Massoud F, *et al.* Impact of vascular risk factors and diseases on cognition in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2009;27(4):375–81.
- †154. Petersen RC, Morris JC. Mild cognitive impairment as a clinical entity and treatment target. *Arch Neurol.* 2005;62(7):1160–63.
- *155. Arseneault-Lapierre G, Chertkow H, Lupien S. Seasonal effects on cortisol secretion in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* [Internet]. 2010;31(6):1051–54. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197458008002558>
- *156. Bélanger S, Belleville S, Gauthier S. Inhibition impairments in Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment and healthy aging: effect of congruency proportion in a Stroop task. *Neuropsychologia.* 2010;48(2):581–90.
- *157. Clément F, Belleville S. Compensation and disease severity on the memory-related activations in mild cognitive impairment. *Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2010;68(10):894–902. Available from: <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-78049428087&partnerID=40&md5=bb77131d8cc34a93ab9d0919b23841a1>

- *158. Clément F, Belleville S, Mellah S. Functional neuroanatomy of the encoding and retrieval processes of verbal episodic memory in MCI. *Cortex*. 2010;46(8):1005–15.
- *159. Jean L, Simard M, Wiederkehr S, *et al*. Efficacy of a cognitive training programme for mild cognitive impairment: results of a randomised controlled study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*. 2010;20(3):377–405.
- *160. Joubert S, Brambati SM, Ansado J, *et al*. The cognitive and neural expression of semantic memory impairment in mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2010;48(4):978–88. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0028393209004515>
- *161. McLaughlin PM, Borrie MJ, Murtha SJE. Shifting efficacy, distribution of attention and controlled processing in two subtypes of mild cognitive impairment: response time performance and intraindividual variability on a visual search task. *Neurocase*. 2010;16(5):408–17.
- *162. Montero-Odasso M, Muir SW. Simplifying detection of mild cognitive impairment subtypes. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2010;58(5):992–94.
- *163. Sylvain-Roy S, Bherer L, Belleville S. Contribution of temporal preparation and processing speed to simple reaction time in persons with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Brain Cogn*. 2010;74(3):255–61.
- *164. Arsenaault-Lapierre G, Whitehead V, Belleville S, *et al*. Mild cognitive impairment subcategories depend on the source of norms. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2011;33(5):596–603.
- *165. Belleville S, Clément F, Mellah S, *et al*. Training-related brain plasticity in subjects at risk of developing Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2011;134(6):1623–34.
- *166. Belleville S, Ménard MC, Lepage É. Impact of novelty and type of material on recognition in healthy older adults and persons with mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2011;49(10):2856–65. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0028393211002922>
- *167. Brunet J, Hudon C, Macoir J, *et al*. The relation between depressive symptoms and semantic memory in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and in late-life depression. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2011;17:865–74.
- *168. Gagnon LG, Belleville S. Working memory in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: contribution of forgetting and predictive value of complex span tasks. *Neuropsychology*. 2011;25(2):226–36.
- †169. Gauthier S, Reisberg B, Zaudig M, *et al*. Mild cognitive impairment. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2006;367(9518):1262–70. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0140673606685425>
- *170. Gao FQ, Swartz RH, Scheltens P, *et al*. Complexity of MRI white matter hyperintensity assessments in relation to cognition in aging and dementia from the Sunnybrook Dementia Study. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2011;26(s3):379–88.
- *171. Hudon C, Villeneuve S, Belleville S. The effect of semantic orientation at encoding on free-recall performance in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and probable Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2011;33(6):631–38.
- *172. Matteau E, Dupré N, Langlois M, *et al*. Mattis Dementia Rating Scale 2: screening for MCI and dementia. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen*. 2011;26(5):389–98.
- *173. Muir SW, Speechley M, Wells J, *et al*. Gait assessment in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: the effect of dual-task challenges across the cognitive spectrum. *Gait Posture* [Internet]. 2012;35(1):96–100. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0966636211002578>
- *174. Protzner AB, Mandzia JL, Black SE, *et al*. Network interactions explain effective encoding in the context of medial temporal damage in MCI. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2011;32(8):1277–89.
- *175. Rupsingh R, Borrie M, Smith M, *et al*. Reduced hippocampal glutamate in Alzheimer disease. *Neurobiol Aging* [Internet]. 2011;32(5):802–10. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197458009001626>
- *176. Sherwin BB, Chertkow H, Schipper H, *et al*. A randomized controlled trial of estrogen treatment in men with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging* [Internet]. 2011;32(10):1808–17. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197458009003613>
- *177. Vandermorris S, Hultsch DF, Hunter MA, *et al*. Including persistency of impairment in mild cognitive impairment classification enhances prediction of 5-year decline. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2011;26(1):26–37.
- *178. Villeneuve S, Massoud F, Bocti C, *et al*. The nature of episodic memory deficits in MCI with and without vascular burden. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2011;49(11):3027–35. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0028393211003253>
- *179. Arsenaault-Lapierre G, Bergman H, Chertkow H. Word reading threshold and mild cognitive impairment: a validation study. *BMC Geriatr* [Internet]. 2012;12(1):38. Available from: <http://bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2318-12-38>
- *180. Arsenaault-Lapierre G, Whitehead V, Lupien S, *et al*. Effects of anosognosia on perceived stress and cortisol levels in Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis*. 2012;2012:Article ID: 209570.
- *181. Clément F, Belleville S. Effect of disease severity on neural compensation of item and associative recognition in mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimer's Dis*. 2012;29(1):109–23.
- *182. Gagnon LG, Belleville S. Training of attentional control in mild cognitive impairment with executive deficits: results from a double-blind randomised controlled study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*. 2012;22(6):809–35.
- *183. Morin J-F, Mouiha A, Pietrantonio S, *et al*. Structural neuroimaging of concomitant depressive symptoms in amnesic mild cognitive impairment: a pilot study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra* [Internet]. 2012;2(1):573–88. Available from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/345234%0Ahttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23277788%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC3522455>
- *184. Rainville C, Lepage E, Gauthier S, *et al*. Executive function deficits in persons with mild cognitive impairment: a study with a Tower of London task. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2012;34(3):306–24.
- *185. Troyer AK, Murphy KJ, Anderson ND, *et al*. Associative recognition in mild cognitive impairment: relationship to hippocampal volume and apolipoprotein E. *Neuropsychologia* [Internet]. 2012;50(14):3721–28. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0028393212004563>
- †186. Knopman DS, Boeve BF, Petersen RC. Essentials of the proper diagnoses of mild cognitive impairment, dementia, and major subtypes of dementia. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2003;78(10):1290–308.
- *187. Villeneuve S, Belleville S. The nature of memory failure in mild cognitive impairment: examining association with neurobiological markers and effect of progression. *Neurobiol Aging* [Internet]. 2012;33(9):1967–78. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0197458011003988>
- *188. Ansado J, Collins L, Joubert S, *et al*. Interhemispheric coupling improves the brain's ability to perform low cognitive demand tasks in Alzheimer's disease and high cognitive demand tasks in normal aging. *Neuropsychology*. 2013;27(4):464–80.
- *189. Clément F, Gauthier S, Belleville S. Executive functions in mild cognitive impairment: Emergence and breakdown of neural plasticity. *Cortex*. 2013;49(5):1268–79.
- *190. Guild EB, Vasquez BP, Maione AM, *et al*. Dynamic working memory performance in individuals with single-domain amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* [Internet]. 2014;36(7):751–60. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13803395.2014.941790>
- *191. Julayanont P, Brousseau M, Chertkow H, *et al*. Montreal Cognitive Assessment Memory Index Score (MoCA-MIS) as a predictor of conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62(4):679–84.
- *192. McLaughlin PM, Anderson ND, Rich JB, *et al*. Visual selective attention in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2014;69(6):881–91.

- *193. Peltsch A, Hemraj A, Garcia A, *et al.* Saccade deficits in amnesic mild cognitive impairment resemble mild Alzheimer's disease. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2014;39(11):2000–13.
- *194. Peters F, Villeneuve S, Belleville S. Predicting progression to dementia in elderly subjects with mild cognitive impairment using both cognitive and neuroimaging predictors. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2014;38(2):307–18.
- *195. Wu L, Soder RB, Schoemaker D, *et al.* Resting state executive control network adaptations in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2014;40(4):993–1004.
- *196. Callahan BL, Joubert S, Tremblay M-P, *et al.* Semantic memory impairment for biological and man-made objects in individuals with amnesic mild cognitive impairment or late-life depression. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* 2015;28(2):108–16.
- *197. Cloutier S, Chertkow H, Kergoat MJ, *et al.* Patterns of cognitive decline prior to dementia in persons with mild cognitive impairment. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2015;47(4):901–13.
- *198. Gaudreau G, Monetta L, Macoir J, *et al.* Mental state inferences abilities contribution to verbal irony comprehension in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Behav Neurol.* 2015;2015. Article ID 685613.
- *199. Le Page A, Bourgade K, Lamoureux J, *et al.* NK cells are activated in amnesic mild cognitive impairment but not in mild Alzheimer's disease patients. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2015;46(1):93–107.
- †200. Grundman M, Petersen RC, Ferris SH, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment can be distinguished from Alzheimer disease and normal aging for clinical trials. *Arch Neurol* [Internet]. 2004;61(1):59–66. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaneurology/article-abstract/785241>
- *201. Ten Brinke LF, Bolandzadeh N, Nagamatsu LS, *et al.* Aerobic exercise increases hippocampal volume in older women with probable mild cognitive impairment: a 6-month randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med.* 2015;49(4):248–54.
- *202. Brayet P, Petit D, Frauscher B, *et al.* Quantitative EEG of rapid-eye-movement sleep: a marker of amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Clin EEG Neurosci.* 2016;47(2):134–41.
- *203. Burhan AM, Anazodo UC, Chung JK, *et al.* The effect of task-irrelevant fearful-face distractor on working memory processing in mild cognitive impairment versus healthy controls: an exploratory fMRI study in female participants. *Behav Neurol.* 2016;2016. Article #1637392.
- *204. Callahan BL, Simard M, Mouiha A, *et al.* Impact of depressive symptoms on memory for emotional words in mild cognitive impairment and late-life depression. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2016;52(2):451–62.
- *205. Langlois R, Joubert S, Benoit S, *et al.* Memory for public events in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: the importance of rehearsal. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2016;50(4):1023–33.
- *206. Nasreddine ZS, Patel BB. Validation of Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA, aAlternate French versions. *Can J Neurol Sci.* 2016;43(5):665–71.
- *207. Vallet GT, Rouleau I, Benoit S, *et al.* Alzheimer's disease and memory strength: gradual decline of memory traces as a function of their strength. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* [Internet]. 2016;38(6):648–60. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13803395.2016.1147530>
- *208. Bocti C, Pépin F, Tétéreault M, *et al.* Orthostatic hypotension associated with executive dysfunction in mild cognitive impairment. *J Neurol Sci* [Internet]. 2017;382:79–83. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0022510X17343186>
- *209. Callahan BL, Laforce R, Dugas M, *et al.* Memory for emotional images differs according to the presence of depressive symptoms in individuals at risk for dementia. *Int Psychogeriatrics.* 2017;29(4):673–85.
- *210. Crockett RA, Hsu CL, Best JR, *et al.* Resting state default mode network connectivity, dual task performance, gait speed, and postural sway in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Front Aging Neurosci* [Internet]. 2017;9(December):1–9. Available from: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00423/full>
- *211. Knezevic D, Verhoeff NPL, Hafizi S, *et al.* Imaging microglial activation and amyloid burden in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2018;38(11):1885–95.
- *212. Le Page A, Lamoureux J, Bourgade K, *et al.* Polymorphonuclear neutrophil functions are differentially altered in amnesic mild cognitive impairment and mild Alzheimer's disease patients. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2017;60(1):23–42.
- *213. Mah L, Anderson ND, Verhoeff NPLG, *et al.* Negative emotional verbal memory biases in mild cognitive impairment and late-onset depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2017;25(10):1160–70. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1064748117303135?via%3Dihub>
- *214. Montero-Odasso MM, Sarquis-Adamson Y, Speechley M, *et al.* Association of dual-task gait with incident dementia in mild cognitive impairment: results from the Gait and Brain Study. *JAMA Neurol.* 2017;74(7):857–65.
- *215. O'Caomh R, Gao Y, Svendovski A, *et al.* Comparing approaches to optimize cut-off scores for short cognitive screening instruments in mild cognitive impairment and dementia. *J Alzheimer's Dis.* 2017;57(1):123–33.
- *216. Belleville S, Hudon C, Bier N, *et al.* MEMO+: Efficacy, durability and effect of cognitive training and psychosocial intervention in individuals with mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2018;66(4):655–63.
- *217. Croteau E, Castellano CA, Fortier M, *et al.* A cross-sectional comparison of brain glucose and ketone metabolism in cognitively healthy older adults, mild cognitive impairment and early Alzheimer's disease. *Exp Gerontol* [Internet]. 2018;107:18–26. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0531556517302280>
- *218. Goodman MS, Kumar S, Zomorodi R, *et al.* Theta-gamma coupling and working memory in Alzheimer's dementia and mild cognitive impairment. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2018;10(Apr):101.
- †219. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®), 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publication; 2013.
- *220. Hsu CL, Best JR, Voss MW, *et al.* Functional neural correlates of slower gait among older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* [Internet]. 2018;74(4):513–18. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/biomedgerontology/article/74/4/513/4868628>
- *221. Villeneuve S, Rodrigues-Brazzè J, Joncas S, *et al.* Validity of the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale to detect mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease and REM sleep behavior disorder. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2011;31(3):210–17.
- †222. Litvan I, Goldman JG, Tröster AI, *et al.* Diagnostic criteria for mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: Movement Disorder Society Task Force guidelines. *Mov Disord.* 2012;27(3):349–56.
- *223. Christopher L, Marras C, Duff-Canning S, *et al.* Combined insular and striatal dopamine dysfunction are associated with executive deficits in Parkinson's disease with mild cognitive impairment. *Brain.* 2014;137(2):565–75.
- *224. Hanganu A, Bedetti C, Degroot C, *et al.* Mild cognitive impairment is linked with faster rate of cortical thinning in patients with Parkinson's disease longitudinally. *Brain.* 2014;137(4):1120–29.

Correspondence to: Brandy L. Callahan, PhD, Department of Psychology, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4
E-mail: brandy.callahan@ucalgary.ca