



Supplemental Materials for

Decision-Making Capacity Assessment Education using Online Modules

Lesley Charles, MBChB, Eileen Tang, BSc, Tara Kilkenny, MSc,
Sharna Polard, MLIS, Peter George Jaminal Tian, MD, MSc,
Jasneet Parmar, MBBS, MSc

<https://doi.org/10.5770/cgj.29.934>

***Corresponding Author:**

Lesley Charles, MBChB, Division of Care of the Elderly, Department of Family Medicine, University of
Alberta, 6-10 University Terrace, University of Alberta, 8303 112 St. NW, Edmonton, AB T6G 2T4

E-mail: Lcharles@ualberta.ca

Listing of Supplemental Material(s):

- **Table S1:** Comparing Pretest ratings between the Online Modules and the Historical Face-to-Face Workshops.
- **Table S2:** Comparing Posttest ratings between the Online Modules and the Historical Face-to-Face Workshops.
- **Appendix S1:** Questionnaire

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Table S1. Comparing Pretest ratings between the Online Modules and the Historical Face-to-Face Workshops.

Likert-type Item	Pretest, Online Modules			Pretest, Face-to-face Workshop (Historical)			Difference in Means between the online modules and face-to-face workshops (a) – (b)	P value of the Difference in Means*
	n	Mean (a)	SD	n	Mean (b)	SD		
1. I understand the concept and definition of "capacity".	683	3.11	0.50	826	2.95	0.56	0.16	<0.001
2. I am aware of the possible pitfalls of capacity assessments.	683	2.86	0.66	822	2.77	0.68	0.08	0.0142
3. I am aware of the legislative acts pertinent to assessments of capacity	683	2.52	0.72	794	2.32	0.70	0.20	<0.001
4. I understand the concept of "trigger" and would be able to apply it in practice.	683	2.49	0.75	818	2.27	0.76	0.23	<0.001
5. I am familiar with the domains of decision-making.	682	2.71	0.70	828	2.61	0.69	0.09	0.0091
6. I am aware of problem-solving techniques to diffuse the need for capacity assessments.	681	2.51	0.69	818	2.38	0.68	0.12	0.0006
7. I understand the role of functional assessments in assessments of capacity.	681	2.78	0.63	827	2.83	0.63	-0.06	0.0933
8. I understand the role of cognitive assessments in assessments of capacity.	681	2.93	0.57	826	2.93	0.60	-0.01	0.8450
9. I understand my discipline's role in the capacity assessment process.	681	2.75	0.64	826	2.70	0.70	0.04	0.1923
10. I understand the interplay of roles of all disciplines involved in the capacity assessment process.	681	2.67	0.66	825	2.64	0.67	0.03	0.4438
11. I am aware of a standardized approach to capacity assessment.	681	2.58	0.68	823	2.41	0.67	0.17	<0.001
12. I am aware of a system of organization and documentation of information pertinent to assessments of capacity.	681	2.52	0.69	812	2.31	0.67	0.21	<0.001
13. I am confident in my knowledge and skill-set in regards to capacity assessments.	681	2.37	0.73	811	2.17	0.69	0.20	<0.001
14. I am aware of circumstances that would require a full, formal assessment of capacity, including application for Guardianship / Trusteeship.	671	2.63	0.72	825	2.56	0.69	0.07	0.0441
15. I would feel comfortable being involved in an assessment of capacity.	606	2.44	0.75	812	2.41	0.75	0.04	0.3778

* Two-sample t- test with unequal variances, two-tailed.

Note: Each Likert-type item had four points: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Agree (3), Strongly Agree (4).

Supplementary Table S2. Comparing Posttest ratings between the Online Modules and the Historical Face-to-Face Workshops.

Likert-type Item	Posttest, Online Module			Posttest, Face-to-face Workshops (Historical)			Difference in Means between the online and face-to-face Workshops*	P value of the Difference in Means
	n	Mean (a)	SD	n	Mean (b)	SD	(a) – (b)	
1. I understand the concept and definition of "capacity".	241	3.60	0.49	826	3.52	0.53	0.08	0.0322
2. I am aware of the possible pitfalls of capacity assessments.	241	3.45	0.50	822	3.44	0.54	0.01	0.7493
3. I am aware of the legislative acts pertinent to assessments of capacity	241	3.45	0.52	794	3.20	0.50	0.25	<0.001
4. I understand the concept of "trigger" and would be able to apply it in practice.	241	3.44	0.51	818	3.37	0.59	0.06	0.1042
5. I am familiar with the domains of decision-making.	241	3.53	0.52	828	3.44	0.53	0.09	0.0168
6. I am aware of problem-solving techniques to diffuse the need for capacity assessments.	241	3.45	0.50	818	3.40	0.54	0.05	0.2052
7. I understand the role of functional assessments in assessments of capacity.	241	3.46	0.50	827	3.47	0.53	-0.01	0.7809
8. I understand the role of cognitive assessments in assessments of capacity.	241	3.46	0.50	826	3.48	0.53	-0.02	0.6023
9. I understand my discipline's role in the capacity assessment process.	241	3.49	0.52	826	3.43	0.57	0.06	0.1391
10. I understand the interplay of roles of all disciplines involved in the capacity assessment process.	241	3.46	0.51	825	3.39	0.56	0.07	0.0639
11. I am aware of a standardized approach to capacity assessment.	241	3.45	0.51	823	3.34	0.54	0.11	0.0038
12. I am aware of a system of organization and documentation of information pertinent to assessments of capacity.	241	3.40	0.52	812	3.28	0.54	0.12	0.0023
13. I am confident in my knowledge and skill-set in regards to capacity assessments.	241	3.34	0.52	811	3.09	0.55	0.25	<0.001
14. I am aware of circumstances that would require a full, formal assessment of capacity, including application for Guardianship/Trusteeship.	241	3.39	0.56	825	3.25	0.52	0.14	0.0006
15. I would feel comfortable being involved in an assessment of capacity.	225	3.32	0.58	812	3.14	0.58	0.17	0.0001

* Two-sample t- test with unequal variances, two-tailed.

Note: Each Likert-type item had four points: Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Agree (3), Strongly Agree (4).

Appendix S1: Questionnaire

Questionnaire

Note: The pretest and posttest questions both contained questions 1-15. Only the pre-test had questions 16-19.

Please indicate your level of agreement to the following statements:

	Rating (check the response that best applies)			
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I understand the concept and definition of "capacity"	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. I am aware of the possible pitfalls of capacity assessments	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. I am aware of the legislative acts pertinent to assessments of capacity	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. I understand the concept of "trigger" and would be able to apply it in practice	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. I am familiar with the domains of decision-making	☐	☐	☐	☐
6. I am aware of problem-solving techniques to diffuse the need for capacity assessments	☐	☐	☐	☐
7. I understand the role of functional assessments in assessments of capacity	☐	☐	☐	☐
8. I understand the role of cognitive assessments in assessments of capacity	☐	☐	☐	☐
9. I understand my discipline's role in the capacity assessment process	☐	☐	☐	☐
10. I understand the interplay of roles of all disciplines involved in the capacity assessment process	☐	☐	☐	☐
11. I am aware of a standardized approach to capacity assessment	☐	☐	☐	☐
12. I am aware of a system of organization and documentation of information pertinent to assessments of capacity	☐	☐	☐	☐
13. I am confident in my knowledge and skill-set in regards to capacity assessments	☐	☐	☐	☐
14. I am aware of circumstances that would require a full, formal assessment of capacity, including application for Guardianship / Trusteeship	☐	☐	☐	☐
15. I would feel comfortable being involved in an assessment of capacity	☐	☐	☐	☐

16. What is your (a) age; (b) sex
☐ Age: ____
☐ Sex: ____
17. What is your professional designation
☐ Clinical Nurse Education
☐ Nurse Practitioner
☐ Occupational Therapist
☐ Physician
☐ Program Manager
☐ RN
☐ Social Worker
☐ Unit Supervisor
☐ Other: ____
18. How long have you worked in your current setting?
☐ < 1 year
☐ 2-5 years
☐ 6 or more years
19. How much training have you had in capacity assessment?
☐ No training
☐ Educational workshops
☐ Talks/presentations